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# $L_{p, q}$-cohomology of warped cylinders 

Yaroslav Kopylov


#### Abstract

We extend some results by Gol'dshtein, Kuz'minov, and Shvedov about the $L_{p^{-}}$ cohomology of warped cylinders to $L_{p, q}$-cohomology for $p \neq q$. As an application, we establish some sufficient conditions for the nontriviality of the $L_{p, q}$-torsion of a surface of revolution.


## Cohomologie $L_{p, q}$ des cylindres tordus

## Résumé

On généralise quelques résultats par Gol'dshtein, Kuz'minov et Shvedov sur la cohomologie $L_{p}$ des cylindres tordus à cohomologie $L_{p, q}$ pour $p \neq q$. Comme application, on établit des conditions suffisantes pour la non-nullité de la torsion $L_{p, q}$ d'une surface de révolution.

## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and a positive continuous function $\sigma: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denote by $L_{p}^{j}(M, \sigma)$ the Banach space of measurable forms of degree $j$ on $M$ with the finite norm

$$
\|\omega\|_{L_{p}^{j}(M, \sigma)}= \begin{cases}\left\{\int_{M}|\omega(x)|^{p} \sigma^{p}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / p} & \text { if } 1 \leq p<\infty \\ \operatorname{ess} \sup _{x \in M}|\omega(x)| \sigma(x) & \text { if } p=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Here $d x$ stands for the volume element of $M$ and $|\omega(x)|$ is the modulus of the exterior form $\omega(x)$. In the usual way, we also define the spaces $L_{p, \text { loc }}(M)$.

Denote by $D^{j}(M)=C_{0}^{\infty, j}(M)$ the space of smooth forms of degree $j$ on $M$ having compact support included in $\operatorname{Int} M$. A form $\psi \in L_{1, \operatorname{loc}}^{j+1}(M)$
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is called the (weak) differential $d \omega$ of $\omega \in L_{1, \mathrm{loc}}^{j}(M)$ if

$$
\int_{U} \omega \wedge d u=(-1)^{j+1} \int_{U} \psi \wedge u
$$

for every orientable domain $U \subset \operatorname{Int} M$ and every form $u \in D^{\operatorname{dim} M-j-1}(M)$ having support in $U$.

For two weights $\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}$ on $M$, put

$$
W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)=\left\{\omega \in L_{p}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}\right) \mid d \omega \in L_{q}^{j+1}\left(M, \sigma_{j+1}\right)\right\}
$$

The space $W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|\omega\|_{W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)}=\|\omega\|_{L_{p}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}\right)}+\|d \omega\|_{L_{q}^{j+1}\left(M, \sigma_{j+1}\right)}
$$

If $p=q$ then it is often more convenient to consider the equivalent norm

$$
\|\omega\|_{W_{p}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)}=\left(\|\omega\|_{L_{p}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}\right)}^{p}+\|d \omega\|_{L_{p}^{j+1}\left(M, \sigma_{j+1}\right)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

In the sequel we let $V_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)$ denote the closure of $D^{j}(M)$ in the norm of $W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)$.

Given an arbitrary subset $A \subset M$, let $W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)$ be the closure in $W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)$ of the subspace spanned by all forms $\omega \in$ $W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)$ which vanish on some neighborhood of $A$ (depending on $\omega$ ).

Let $Z_{q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}\right)$ be the subspace in $W_{q, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ that consists of all forms $\omega$ such that $d \omega=0$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)=\left\{\theta \in W_{q, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\mid \theta=d \psi \text { for some } \psi \in W_{p, q}^{j-1}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The spaces

$$
H_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)=Z_{q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}\right) / B_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{H}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)=Z_{q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}\right) / \bar{B}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right),
$$

where $\bar{B}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ is the closure of $B_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ in $L_{q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}\right)$ (equivalently, in $W_{q, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ ) are called the $j$ th $L_{p, q^{-}}$cohomology and
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the $j$ th reduced $L_{p, q}$-cohomology of the Riemannian manifold $M$ with weights $\sigma_{j-1}$ and $\sigma_{j}$. The quotient space

$$
T_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)=\bar{B}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right) / B_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)
$$

will be referred to as the $L_{p, q}$-torsion of $M$ with the given weights. Clearly, the space $T_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ is isomorphic to the closure of the zero in $H_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$.

Given a subset $A \subset M$, the relative nonreduced and reduced $L_{p, q^{-}}$ cohomology spaces $H_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ and $\bar{H}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ are defined as

$$
H_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)=Z_{q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j}\right) / B_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{H}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)=Z_{q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j}\right) / \bar{B}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)
$$

where the relative spaces $Z_{q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j}\right)$ and $B_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ are defined as their absolute analogs above with the spaces $W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ and $W_{p, q}^{j-1}\left(M, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ replaced by the spaces

$$
W_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}\right) \text { and } W_{p, q}^{j-1}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)
$$

For $p=q$, we write the subscript $p$ instead of $p, p$ throughout. If the weights involved in the definition of the corresponding space are equal to 1 then they will be omitted.

The spaces $W_{p, q}$ and $L_{p, q^{-}}$-cohomology were introduced at the beginning of the 1980 's by $\mathrm{Gol}^{\prime}$ dshtein, $\mathrm{Kuz}^{\prime}$ minov, and Shvedov $[3,4,5,6,7$, 8], who obtained many results concerning $W_{p, q^{-}}$-forms and especially $L_{p^{-}}$ cohomology. Later $L_{p, q^{-}}$-cohomology was considered in [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 22].

In this paper, we, following [9, 10], look for conditions of the nontriviality of the $L_{p, q^{-}}$cohomology and $L_{p, q}$-torsion on warped cylinders, a class of warped products of Riemannian manifolds. By the warped product $X \times_{f} Y$ of two Riemannian manifolds $\left(X, g_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, g_{Y}\right)$ with the warping function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$we mean the product manifold $X \times Y$ endowed with the metric $g_{X}+f^{2}(x) g_{Y}$. If $X=[a, b[$ is a half-interval on the real line then $X \times_{f} Y$ is referred to as the warped cylinder. The study of the $L_{2^{-}}$ cohomology of warped cylinders was initiated by Cheeger [2].

The structure of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we adapt the results of [9] about the $L_{p}$-cohomology of a half-interval to the case $p \neq q$.
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After that, using these $L_{p, q^{-}}$-results, in Section 3, we prove a partial $L_{p, q^{-}}$ generalization of Theorem 1 of [9] about the $L_{p}$-cohomology of a warped cylinder $\left[a, b\left[\times_{f} Y\right.\right.$ depending on the analytic properties of the function $f$. As an application, we obtain an extension of the necessary condition for the triviality of the $L_{p, q}$ - torsion of a surface of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ [16] from the case $p=q$ to arbitrary $p, q$ such that $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{n+1}$.

## 2. Weighted $L_{p, q}$-cohomology of a half-interval

Consider a half-interval $[a, b[,-\infty<a<b \leq \infty$ and positive continuous functions $v_{0}, v_{1}:\left[a, b\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.\right.$. For $1<p, q<\infty$, the space $W_{p, q}^{0}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.$ can be identified with the space of the functions $g \in L_{p}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}\right)\right.\right.$ whose weak derivative $g^{\prime} \in L_{q}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.$. As above, endow $W_{p, q}^{0}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.$ with the norm

$$
\|g\|_{W_{p, q}^{0}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.}=\left(\int_{a}^{b}|g(t)|^{p} v_{0}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} v_{1}^{q} d t\right)^{1 / q}
$$

From the classical Sobolev Embedding Theorem it follows that the functions of the class $W_{p, q}^{0}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.$ are continuous on $[a, b[$. Consider also the space

$$
W_{p, q}^{0}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=\left\{f \in W _ { p , q } ^ { 0 } \left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \mid f(a)=0\right\}\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=W_{q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{1}, v_{1}\right) / d W_{p, q}^{0}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=W_{q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{1}, v_{1}\right) / d W_{p, q}^{0}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The spaces $\bar{H}_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.$ and $\bar{H}_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.$ are described similarly.

We call the following assertion the lemma about the Hardy inequality [1, 10, 21]:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1, \alpha, \beta \in[-\infty, \infty], I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is the interval with endpoints $\alpha$ and $\beta, v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ are continuous positive functions on $I_{\alpha, \beta}$. Then for the existence of a global constant $C$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right| v_{0}(t) \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} g(t) d t\right|^{p} d \tau\right|^{1 / p} \leq\left.\left. C\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right| v_{1}(t) g(t)\right|^{q} d t\right|^{1 / q}
$$
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for every $g \in L_{q}\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}, v_{1}\right)$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
\chi_{p, q}\left(\alpha, \beta, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)<\infty .
$$

Here

$$
\chi_{p, q}\left(\alpha, \beta, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=\sup _{\tau \in I_{\alpha, \beta}}\left\{\left.\left.\left.\left.\left|\int_{\tau}^{\beta}\right| v_{0}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right|^{1 / p}\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}\right| v_{1}(t)\right|^{-q^{\prime}} d t\right|^{1 / q^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

if $p \geq q$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{p, q}\left(\alpha, \beta, v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=\left.\left.\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left(\left.\left.\left.\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}\right| v_{1}(t)\right|^{-q^{\prime}} d t\right|^{p-1}\left|\int_{\tau}^{\beta}\right| v_{0}(t)\right|^{p} d t \mid\right)^{\frac{q}{q-p}}\right| v_{1}(\tau)\right|^{-q^{\prime}} d \tau\right|^{\frac{q-p}{p q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p<q$.
If $p=1\left(q^{\prime}=\infty\right)$ then the corresponding integral must be replaced by ess sup.

The constant $\chi_{p, q}\left(\alpha, \beta, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$ will be referred to as the Hardy constant.
The following lemma was proved in [9] for $p=q$ and $v_{0}=v_{1}$. The proof given in [9] holds for different $p$ and $q$ and different $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in[-\infty, \infty], v_{0}, v_{1}: I_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are positive continuous functions, and $\chi_{p, q}\left(\alpha, \beta, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=\infty$. Then there exists a nonnegative function $h$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v_{1}^{q}(t) h^{q}(t) d t\right||<\infty, \quad| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v_{0}^{p}(\tau)\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\tau} h(t) d t\right|^{p} d \tau \mid=\infty
$$

As in [9], Lemma 2 yields the following assertion.
Theorem 2.3. If $v_{0}, v_{1}$ are positive continuous functions on $[a, b[$ and $1<p, q<\infty$ then
(1) $H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \chi_{p, q}\left(a, b, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)<\infty\right.\right.$;
(2) $H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \chi_{p, q}\left(a, b, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)<\infty\right.\right.$ or $\chi_{p, q}\left(b, a, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)<\infty$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an exact sequence of Banach complexes, i.e., complexes in the category of Banach spaces and bounded linear operators. Sequence (2.1) yields an exact sequence of the cohomology spaces

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{k-1}(C) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{k}(A) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*}} H^{k}(B) \xrightarrow{\psi^{*}} H^{k}(C) \rightarrow \ldots
$$
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with continuous operators $\partial^{*}, \varphi^{*}, \psi^{*}$ and a semi-exact sequence of the reduced cohomology spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow \bar{H}^{k-1}(C) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \bar{H}^{k}(A) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}^{*}} \bar{H}^{k}(B) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}^{*}} \bar{H}^{k}(C) \rightarrow \ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under certain conditions, sequence (2.2) is exact at some terms (see [10, 18, 20]). In particular, Gol'dshtein, Kuz'minov, and Shvedov proved the following assertion in [10, Theorem 1(1)]:

Lemma 2.4. If $H^{k}(C)$ is separated and $\operatorname{dim} \partial\left(H^{k-1}(C)\right)<\infty$ then the sequence $\bar{H}^{k-1}(C) \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} \bar{H}^{k}(A) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}^{*}} \bar{H}^{k}(B) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}^{*}} \bar{H}^{k}(C)$ is exact.

As was explained in [12], we can describe the $j$ th weighted $L_{p, q}$-cohomology of an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M$ with given weights $\sigma_{j-1}$ and $\sigma_{j}$ in terms of Banach complexes. To this end, consider an arbitrary sequence $\pi=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty]$ with $p_{j-1}=p$ and $p_{j}=q$ and a sequence of positive continuous weights $\sigma=\left\{\sigma_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$ with the given $\sigma_{j-1}$ and $\sigma_{j}$. Given a subset $A \subset M$, put

$$
W_{\pi}^{k}(M, A, \sigma)=W_{p_{k}, p_{k+1}}\left(M, A, \sigma_{k}, \sigma_{k+1}\right)
$$

Here we have assumed that $p_{n+1}=p_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n+1}=\sigma_{n}$.
Since the exterior differential is a bounded operator

$$
d^{k-1}: W_{\pi}^{k-1}(M, A, \sigma) \rightarrow W_{\pi}^{k}(M, A, \sigma)
$$

we obtain a Banach complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow W_{\pi}^{0}(M, A, \sigma) \xrightarrow{d^{0}} W_{\pi}^{1}(M, A, \sigma) \rightarrow \ldots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} W_{\pi}^{n}(M, A, \sigma) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the $k$-th $L_{\pi}$-cohomology $H_{\pi}^{k}(M, A, \sigma)$ (reduced $k$-th $L_{\pi}$-cohomology $\left.\bar{H}_{\pi}^{k}(M, A, \sigma)\right)$ of the Riemannian manifold $M$ with respect to $A$ with weight $\sigma$ we mean the cohomology (reduced cohomology) of (2.3). Thus,

$$
H_{\pi}^{k}(M, A, \sigma)=H_{p_{k-1}, p_{k}}^{k}\left(M, A, \sigma_{k-1}, \sigma_{k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{H}_{\pi}^{k}(M, A, \sigma)=\bar{H}_{p_{k-1}, p_{k}}^{k}\left(M, A, \sigma_{k-1}, \sigma_{k}\right)
$$

for all $k$. In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{\pi}^{j}(M, A, \sigma)=H_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right) \\
& \bar{H}_{\pi}^{j}(M, A, \sigma)=\bar{H}_{p, q}^{j}\left(M, A, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Take $M=[a, b[, A=\{a\}, 1<p, q<\infty, \pi=\{p, q\}$, and a pair of weights $v=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$. We have the following exact sequence of Banach complexes:

$$
0 \rightarrow W_{\pi}^{*}\left(\left[a, b[,\{a\}, v) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{j}} W_{\pi}^{*}\left(\left[a, b[, v) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{i}} H^{*}(\{a\}) \rightarrow 0,\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

where $H^{*}(\{a\})$ is the complex with the only nontrivial term $H^{0}(\{a\})=\mathbb{R}$. Here the mappings $\mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j}$ are defined as follows: $\mathfrak{j}$ is the inclusion mapping; if $g \in W_{\pi}^{0}([a, b[, v)$ then $\mathfrak{i} g=g(a)$ (recall that $g$ is continuous) and in dimension one $\mathfrak{j}$ is zero. Lemma 2.4 yields the exact sequence

$$
\mathbb{R}=H^{0}(\{a\}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \bar{H}_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathrm{j}}^{*}} \bar{H}_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right) .\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

Thus, we infer the following assertion, proved for $p=q$ in [9]. With what has been said above, the proof of [9] extends to the case of $p \neq q$ without change.

Theorem 2.5. If $v_{0}, v_{1}$ are positive continuous functions on $[a, b[, 1<$ $p<\infty, 1<q<\infty, \frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$ then
(1) $\bar{H}_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=0\right.\right.$;
(2) $\bar{H}_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=0\right.\right.$ if and only if $\int_{a}^{b} v_{1}^{-q^{\prime}}(t) d t=\infty$ or $\int_{a}^{b} v_{0}^{p}(t) d t<\infty ;$
(3) If $\bar{H}_{p, q}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \neq 0\right.\right.$ then

$$
\bar{\partial}: \mathbb{R}=H^{0}(\{a\}) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, v_{0}, v_{1}\right)\right.\right.
$$

is an isomorphism.

## 3. $L_{p, q}$-cohomology of the warped cylinder $C_{a, b}^{f}$

Let $Y$ be an orientable manifold of dimension $n, C_{a, b}^{f} Y=\left[a, b\left[\times{ }_{f} Y\right.\right.$. Put $Y_{a}=\{a\} \times Y$. Generally speaking, $C_{a, b}^{f}$ is a Lipschitz Riemannian manifold in the sense of [3] but we will assume throughout for simplicity that $\partial Y=\varnothing$ to make $C_{a, b}^{f}$ smooth, which will be enough for our purposes.

Suppose that $1<p<\infty$ and $1<q<\infty$.
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In [9], Gol'dshtein, Kuz'minov, and Shvedov introduced the bilinear mapping

$$
\nu: L_{p}^{j-1}(Y) \times L_{p}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}\right) \rightarrow L_{p}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right)\right.\right.
$$

$\nu(\varphi, g d t)=g d t \wedge \varphi$. In [9] it was proved that $\nu$ is continuous and if $\varphi \in Z_{p}^{j-1}(Y)$ then $\nu_{\varphi}=\nu(\varphi, \cdot): L_{p}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}\right) \rightarrow L_{p}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right)\right.\right.$ induces continuous mappings

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu_{\varphi}^{*}: H_{p}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}\right) \rightarrow H_{p}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right)\right.\right. \\
\tilde{\nu}_{\varphi}^{*}: H_{p}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}\right) \rightarrow H_{p}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y, Y_{a}\right) .\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Supposing that $\varphi \in Z_{p}^{j-1}(Y) \cap Z_{q}^{j-1}(Y)$, we similarly become convinced that the mapping $\nu_{\varphi}=\nu(\varphi, \cdot)$ induces continuous mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\varphi}^{*}: H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)\right.\right. & \rightarrow H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right) \\
\tilde{\nu}_{\varphi}^{*}: H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)\right.\right. & \rightarrow H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y, Y_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, assume that $\psi \in L_{p^{\prime}}^{n+1-j}(Y)\left(p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}\right)$ and $\omega \in L_{p}^{j}\left(C_{a, b} Y\right)$. Write $\omega$ in the form $\omega=\omega_{A}+d t \wedge \omega_{B}$, where $\omega_{A}, \omega_{B}$ do not contain $d t$ [10]. Following [9], introduce the continuous operator

$$
\mu_{\psi}: L_{p}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right) \rightarrow L_{p}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}\right)\right.\right.
$$

by the formula

$$
\mu_{\psi} \omega=\left(\int_{Y} \omega_{B}(t) \wedge \psi\right) d t
$$

The following lemma was proved in [9] for $p=q$ and $\psi \in V_{p^{\prime}}^{n-j+1}(Y)$. The proof in [9] easily extends to $p \neq q$ :

Lemma 3.1. If $\psi \in D^{n-j+1}(Y)$ and $d \psi=0$ then $\mu_{\psi}$ induces continuous mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\psi}^{*}: H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right) & \rightarrow H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)\right.\right. \\
\tilde{\mu}_{\psi}^{*}: H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y, Y_{a}\right) & \rightarrow H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following theorem partially generalizing item 7 of Theorem 1 in [9]:

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $Y$ is an orientable $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold, $\infty<a<b \leq \infty, f:[a, b[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive continuous function, $1<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty$. Assume that there exists $\varphi \in$
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$Z_{p}^{j-1}(Y) \cap Z_{q}^{j-1}(Y)$ such that $\int_{Y} \varphi \wedge \gamma \neq 0$ for some form $\gamma \in D^{n-j+1}(Y)$, $d \gamma=0$.

The following hold:
(1) if $\chi_{p, q}\left(a, b, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)=\infty$ then $H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y, Y_{a}\right) \neq 0$;
(2) if $\chi_{p, q}\left(a, b, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)=\infty$ and $\chi_{p, q}\left(b, a, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)=$ $\infty$ then $T_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right) \neq 0$ and, hence, $\operatorname{dim} H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right)=\infty$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in Z_{p}^{j-1}(Y) \cap Z_{q}^{j-1}(Y)$ be a cocycle having the property mentioned in the theorem and let $\gamma \in D^{n-j+1}(M)$ be a form such that $\int_{Y} \varphi \wedge \gamma=1$. Then $\mu_{\gamma}^{*} \circ \nu_{\varphi}^{*}=\mathrm{id}, \tilde{\mu}_{\gamma}^{*} \circ \tilde{\nu}_{\varphi}^{*}=\mathrm{id}$ [9]. Consequently, the mappings

$$
\nu_{\varphi}^{*}: H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right) \rightarrow H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right)\right.\right.
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\nu}_{\varphi}^{*}: H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right) \rightarrow H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y, Y_{a}\right)\right.\right.
$$

are injective.
Suppose that $\chi_{p, q}\left(a, b, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)=\infty$. Then, by Theorem 2.3, $H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[,\{a\}, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right) \neq 0\right.\right.$. Therefore, $H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y, Y_{a}\right) \neq 0$.

Assume now that

$$
\chi_{p, q}\left(a, b, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)=\infty
$$

and

$$
\chi_{p, q}\left(b, a, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)=\infty
$$

Then, by Theorem 2.3, $H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right) \neq 0\right.\right.$. Since, by Theorem 2.5, $\bar{H}_{p, q}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)=0\right.\right.$, we have

$$
T_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right) \neq 0\right.\right.
$$

Now, if we had $T_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right)=0, \nu_{\varphi}^{*}$ would be a continuous injective mapping with values in the Hausdorff space $H_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right)$, and so the cohomology space $H_{p, q}^{1}\left(\left[a, b\left[, f^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, f^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)\right.\right.$ would also be Hausdorff, i.e., without torsion. Thus, $T_{p, q}^{j}\left(C_{a, b}^{f} Y\right) \neq 0$. The theorem is proved.

## Ya. Kopylov

## $L_{p, q}$-torsion of a surface of revolution

Let $M$ be a surface of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, i.e., the $(n+1)$-dimensional surface defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n+2}^{2}, \quad\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, x_{1} \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive smooth function. The manifold $M$ is the product $\left[0, \infty\left[\times \mathbb{S}^{n}\right.\right.$ endowed with the metric

$$
g_{M}=\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) d x_{1}^{2}+f^{2}\left(x_{1}\right) d y^{2}
$$

induced from $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, where $d x_{1}^{2}$ and $d y^{2}$ are the conventional Riemannian metrics on $\left[0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$ and the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$. In other words, $M$ may be considered as the warped product $\left[0, \infty\left[\times_{F} \mathbb{S}^{n}\right.\right.$, where $F=f \circ G^{-1}, G(x)=$ $\int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t$.

In [17], we have proved the following fact:
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $f$ is unbounded, $p, q \in\left[1, \infty\left[, \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{n+1}\right.\right.$, $1 \leq j \leq n+1$. Then $T_{p, q}^{j}(M) \neq 0$.

Kuz'minov and Shvedov [19] established that when $f$ is bounded from above, $T_{p}^{j}(M)$ is zero for all $j, 2 \leq j \leq n$ and that, for $j=1, n+1$, the triviality of $T_{p}^{j}(M)$ depends on the finiteness of some Hardy constants. This is due to the connection between the $L_{p}$-cohomology of the warped product $C_{a, b}^{f} Y$ and the weighted $L_{p}$-cohomology of $[a, b[$ given in the mentioned papers $[9,10]$. Above we have shown that there is a connection of this type for $L_{p, q}$-cohomology. Namely, by Theorem 3.2, since $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is compact and the de Rham cohomology $H^{j-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is nontrivial if $j=1, n+1$, for $T_{p, q}^{j}(M)(j=1, n+1)$ to be zero, it is necessary that $\chi_{p, q}\left(0, \infty, F^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)<\infty$ or $\chi_{p, q}\left(\infty, 0, F^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)<\infty$.

The main result of this section is a generalization of Theorems 2 and $2^{\prime}$ of [16] and is formulated as follows:

Theorem 3.4. Let $M$ be the surface of revolution (3.1). Suppose that $1<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty, \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{n+1}, j \in\{1, n+1\}$. If $T_{p, q}^{j}(M)=0$ then $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=0$ and $\operatorname{vol} M<\infty$.

Proof. Put $k=j-1, q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1}$.
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We have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{p, q}^{0} \equiv \chi_{p, q}\left(0, \infty, F^{\frac{n}{p}-k}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-k}\right) \\
= & \sup _{\tau>0}\left\{\left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f^{n-k p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& \chi_{p, q}^{\infty} \equiv \chi_{p, q}\left(\infty, 0, F^{\frac{n}{p}-k}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-k}\right) \\
= & \sup _{\tau>0}^{\tau}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} f^{n-k p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p \geq q$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{p, q}^{0} \equiv \chi_{p, q}\left(0, \infty, F^{\frac{n}{p}-k}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-k}\right) \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{H(x)} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{p-1} \int_{H(x)}^{\infty} f^{n-k p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}}\right. \\
& \left.\times f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(x) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{q-p}{q p}} ;  \tag{3.2}\\
& \begin{array}{r}
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{p, q}^{\infty} \equiv \chi_{p, q}\left(\infty, 0, F^{\frac{n}{p}-k}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-k}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left(\int_{H(x)}^{\infty} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{p-1} \int_{0}^{H(x)} f^{n-k p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}}\right. \\
\\
\left.\times f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(x) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{q-p}{q p}}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

if $p<q$. Here $H(x)$ is the function inverse to the arc length function $G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t$.

The main element in the proof of Theorem 3.4 is the following lemma which has some independent interest.

Lemma 3.5. If $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{n+1}, 1<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty, 0 \leq k \leq n$, then the following hold:
(1) if $\chi_{p, q}^{0}<\infty$ or $\chi_{p, q}^{\infty}<\infty$ then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=0$;
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(2) if $\frac{n}{p}-k \leq 0$ then $\chi_{p, q}^{0}=\infty$;
(3) if $\frac{n}{q}-k \geq 0$ then $\chi_{p, q}^{\infty}=\infty$.

Proof. Suppose first that $p \geq q$.
Assume that $\chi_{p, q}^{0}<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{n-k p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t<\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
f^{n-k p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} \geq f^{n-k p}(t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|
$$

it follows that the integral

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} & f^{n-k p}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n-k p+1} \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(f^{n-k p+1}(t)-f^{n-k p+1}(0)\right) & \text { if } n-k p \neq-1 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \frac{f(t)}{f(0)} & \text { if } n-k p=-1\end{cases} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

is finite.
There appear several possibilities:
(a) $\frac{n}{p}-k>0$. The above implies that there exists a finite limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)$, which is zero by (3.3).
(b) $\frac{n}{p}-k=0$. This is impossible in view of (3.3).
(c) $-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{n}{p}-k<0$. Then $n-k p+1>0$ and $f(t)$ has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (3.3).
(d) $\frac{n}{p}-k=-\frac{1}{p}$. A contradiction to (3.3).
(e) $\frac{n}{p}-k<-\frac{1}{p}$. In this case, $n-k p<-1$. Hence, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\infty$.
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Note that, since $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{n+1}$, we have $k+1>\frac{n+1}{p}+1>\frac{n+1}{q}$, whence $-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1>0$. We infer

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f^{n-k p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \geq\left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f^{n-k p}(t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \geq\left|\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f^{n-k p}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right|^{1 / p}\left|\int_{0}^{\tau} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right|^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \geq\left(\frac{f^{n-k p+1}(\tau)}{|n-k p+1|}\right)^{1 / p}\left|\frac{f^{\left.-\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(\tau)-f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(0)}{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}\right|^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \quad=C \cdot f^{\frac{n+1}{p}-\frac{n+1}{q}+1}(\tau)\left|1-f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(0) f^{\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}-1}(\tau)\right|^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The last quantity in (3.5) is equivalent to $C f^{\frac{n+1}{p}-\frac{n+1}{q}+1}(\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ and, hence, tends to infinity. Therefore, $\chi_{p, q}^{0}=\infty$, and we obtain a contradiction.

Thus, if $\chi_{p, q}^{0}<\infty$ then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=0$ and $\frac{n}{p}-k>0$.
Suppose now that $\chi_{p, q}^{\infty}<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t<\infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, hence, there exists a finite integral

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t \\
&= \begin{cases}\frac{\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(t)-f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(0)}{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1} & \text { if }-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime} \neq-1, \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \frac{f(t)}{f(0)} & \text { if }-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}=-1 .\end{cases} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

## Ya. Kopylov

As in the case $\chi_{p, q}^{0}<\infty$, we infer that either $\frac{n}{q}-k<0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=0$ or $\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}>1$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\infty$. In the latter case we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{p, q}^{\infty} & \geq \sup _{\tau>0}\left\{\left(\frac{f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(\tau)}{1-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left|\frac{f^{n-k p+1}(\tau)-f^{n-k p+1}(0)}{n-k p+1}\right|^{1 / p}\right\} \\
& =C \sup _{\tau>0}\left\{f^{\frac{n+1}{p}-\frac{n+1}{q}+1}(\tau)\left|1-f^{n-k p+1}(0) f^{-(n-k p+1)}(\tau)\right|^{1 / p}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C=$ const $>0$. Since $k<\frac{n+1}{q}-1<\frac{n+1}{p}$, we have $n-k p+1>0$, and, hence, the last quantity in (3.8) behaves like $C f^{\frac{n+1}{p}-\frac{n+1}{q}+1}(\tau)$ and, consequently, tends to infinity as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\chi_{p, q}^{\infty}=\infty$; a contradiction.

Thus, if $\chi_{p, q}^{\infty}<\infty$ then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=0$ and $\frac{n}{q}-k<0$.
We now pass to the case $p<q$.
Suppose that $\chi_{p, q}^{0}<\infty$. Then, as above, we have (3.3) and (3.4) and conclude that either $\frac{n}{p}-k>0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=0$ or $\frac{n}{p}-k<-\frac{1}{p}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\infty$. Show that the latter case is impossible. By (3.2), we infer

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\chi_{p, q}^{0}\right)^{\frac{p q}{q-p} \geq} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{H(x)} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right|^{p-1}\left|\int_{H(x)}^{\infty} f^{n-k p}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right|\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \\
\quad \times f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(x) \sqrt{1+f^{2}(x)} d x \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|\frac{f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(H(x))-f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(0)}{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}\right|^{p-1}\left|\frac{f^{n-k p+1}(H(x))}{n-k p+1}\right|\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \\
\quad \times f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(x) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)} d x \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|\frac{F^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(s)-F^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(0)}{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}\right|^{p-1}\left|\frac{F^{n-k p+1}(s)}{n-k p+1}\right|\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}} F^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(s) d s \\
=C \int_{0}^{\infty} F^{N}(s)\left|1-F^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(0) F^{\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}-1}(s)\right| d s . \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$
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Here $C=$ const $>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\left(\left(-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1\right)(p-1)+n-k p+1\right) \frac{q}{q-p}-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime} \\
= & {\left[\left(1-\frac{p-1}{q-1}\right) \frac{q}{q-p}-\frac{1}{q-1}\right] n-\left[\left(\frac{q(p-1)}{q-1}-p\right) \frac{q}{q-p}+\frac{1}{q-1}\right] k+\frac{p q}{q-p} } \\
& =n-k+\frac{p q}{q-p}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}-1<0$, since $\frac{n}{q}<\frac{n+1}{q}<\frac{n+1}{p}<k$. Consequently, the expression under the last integral in (3.9) is equivalent to $C F^{n-k+\frac{p q}{q-p}}(s)$, i.e., tends to $\infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and, thus, the integral does not exist. A contradiction.

Suppose now that $\chi_{p, q}^{\infty}<\infty$. Then we have (3.6) and (3.7) and infer that, in this case, either $\frac{n}{q}-k<0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=0$ or $q^{\prime}\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right)>1$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\infty$. In the latter case, we infer

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\chi_{p, q}^{\infty}\right)^{\frac{p q}{q-p}} \geq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{H(x)} f^{n-k p}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right|\left|\int_{H(x)}^{\infty} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right|^{p-1}\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \\
\times f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(x) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)} d x \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|\frac{f^{n-k p+1}(H(x))-f^{n-k p+1}(0)}{n-k p+1}\right|\left|\frac{f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(H(x))}{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}\right|^{p-1}\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \\
\times f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(x) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)} d x \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|\frac{F^{n-k p+1}(s)-F^{n-k p+1}(0)}{n-k p+1} \| \frac{F^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}(s)}{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}+1}\right|^{p-1}\right]^{\frac{q}{q-p}} F^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-k\right) q^{\prime}}(s) d s \\
=C \int_{0}^{\infty} F^{N}(s)\left|1-F^{n-k p+1}(0) F^{-(n-k p+1)}(s)\right| d s . \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, as above, $C=$ const $>0, N=n-k+\frac{p q}{q-p}>0$, and $-(n-k p+1)<0$. Thus, the expression under the integral is equivalent to $C F^{n-k+\frac{p q}{q-p}}(s)$, i.e., tends to infinity as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.5 is completely proved.
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Now, return to the proof of Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $T_{p, q}^{j}(M)=0$ for $j=1$ or $j=n+1$. Then, by Theorem 3.2,

$$
\chi_{p, q}\left(0, \infty, F^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)<\infty
$$

(and, hence, $\left.\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{\left(\frac{n}{p}-j+1\right) p}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t<\infty\right)$ or

$$
\chi_{p, q}\left(\infty, 0, F^{\frac{n}{p}-j+1}, F^{\frac{n}{q}-j+1}\right)<\infty
$$

(and, hence, $\left.\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{-\left(\frac{n}{q}-j+1\right) q^{\prime}}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t<\infty\right)$. By Lemma 3.5, this implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=0$ and, in both cases,

$$
\operatorname{vol} M=s_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{n}(t) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(t)} d t<\infty
$$

Here $s_{n}$ stands for the volume of the $n$-dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.
The theorem is proved.
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