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Abstract

Let $K$ be a knot in the 3-sphere $S^3$, and $\Delta$ a disk in $S^3$ meeting $K$ transversely in the interior. For non-triviality we assume that $|\Delta \cap K| \geq 2$ over all isotopies of $K$ in $S^3 - \partial \Delta$. Let $K_{\Delta,n}(\subset S^3)$ be a knot obtained from $K$ by $n$ twistings along the disk $\Delta$. If the original knot is unknotted in $S^3$, we call $K_{\Delta,n}$ a twisted knot. We describe for which pair $(K, \Delta)$ and an integer $n$, the twisted knot $K_{\Delta,n}$ is a torus knot, a satellite knot or a hyperbolic knot.

1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a knot in the 3-sphere $S^3$ and $\Delta$ a disk in $S^3$ meeting $K$ transversely in the interior. We assume that $|\Delta \cap K|$, the number of $\Delta \cap K$, is minimal and greater than one over all isotopies of $K$ in $S^3 - \partial \Delta$. We call such a disk $\Delta$ a twisting disk for $K$. Let $K_{\Delta,n}(\subset S^3)$ be a knot obtained from $K$ by $n$ twistings along the disk $\Delta$, in other words, an image of $K$ after a $-\frac{1}{n}$-Dehn surgery on the trivial knot $\partial \Delta$. In particular, if $K$ is a trivial knot in $S^3$, then we call $(K, \Delta)$ a twisting pair and call $K_{\Delta,n}$ a twisted knot, see Figure 1.
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Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of all knots in $S^3$ and $\mathcal{K}_1$ the set of all twisted knots. In [23, Theorem 4.1] Ohyama demonstrated that each knot in $\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_1$ can be obtained from a trivial knot by twisting along exactly two properly chosen disks.

On the other hand, following Thurston’s uniformization theorem ([20, 28]) and the torus theorem ([14, 15]), every knot in $S^3$ has exactly one of the following geometric types: a torus knot, a satellite knot (i.e., a knot having a non-boundary-parallel, incompressible torus in its exterior), or a hyperbolic knot (i.e., a knot admitting a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume on its complement).

Let $k$ be a knot in $\mathcal{K}_1$, then $k = K_{\Delta,n}$, for some twisting pair $(K, \Delta)$ and an integer $n$. Let $c$ be the boundary of the twisting disk, $c = \partial \Delta$ and $E(K, c) = S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c)$. Since $E(K, c)$ is irreducible and $\partial$-irreducible, $E(K, c)$ is Seifert fibered, toroidal or hyperbolic ([14, 15, 20, 28]). Thus each twisting pair $(K, \Delta)$ has also exactly one of the following geometric types: is Seifert fibered type, toroidal type or hyperbolic type according to whether $E(K, c)$ is Seifert fibered, toroidal or hyperbolic, respectively.

In the present paper, we address:

**Problem 1.1.** Describe geometric types of twisted knots with respect to geometric types of the twisting pairs and the twisting numbers.

Actually, we shall prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(K, \Delta)$ be a twisting pair and $n$ an integer. If $|n| > 1$ then $K_{\Delta,n}$ has the geometric type of $(K, \Delta)$.

For hyperbolic twisting pairs, the result is a consequence of Proposition 1.3 below, [19] and [2, Theorem 1.1]. It should be mentioned that Proposition 1.3 can be deduced from a more general result [12, Appendix A.2] established by Gordon and Luecke; notice that their proof is based on good and binary faces, whereas our proof is based on primitive or binary faces; see the end of Section 3 for more details.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let $(K, \Delta)$ be a hyperbolic, twisting pair. If $K_{\Delta,n}$ is a satellite knot for some integer $n$ with $|n| \geq 2$, then $K_{\Delta,n}$ is a cable of a torus knot and $|n| = 2$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2 for hyperbolic twisting pairs.** Let $(K, \Delta)$ be a hyperbolic twisting pair. Assume that $|n| > 1$. By [19], $K_{\Delta,n}$ is not a
torus knot. If \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a satellite knot, then by Proposition 1.3, it would be a cable of a torus knot. This contradicts \([2, Theorem 1.1]\) which asserts that \( K_{\Delta,n} (|n| > 1) \) cannot be a graph knot. Thus \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a hyperbolic knot.

If \((K, \Delta)\) is a Seifert fibered pair, then \( S^3 - \text{int}N(\partial \Delta) \) is a \((1, p)\)-fibered solid torus in which \( K \) is a regular fiber. Hence \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a \((1 + np, p)\)-torus knot in \( S^3 \). Thus we have:

Proposition 1.4. Let \((K, \Delta)\) be a Seifert fibered twisting pair. Then \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a torus knot for any integer \( n \).

For toroidal twisting pairs, we have the following precise description.

Theorem 1.5. Let \((K, \Delta)\) be a toroidal twisting pair.

(1) (i) If \((K, \Delta)\) has a form described in Figure 2 (i) in which \( V - \text{int}N(K) \) is Seifert fibered or hyperbolic, then \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a satellite knot for any integer \( n \neq 0, -1 \); \( K_{\Delta,-1} \) is a torus knot or a hyperbolic knot, respectively.

(ii) If \((K, \Delta)\) has a form described in Figure 2 (ii) in which \( V - \text{int}N(K) \) is Seifert fibered or hyperbolic, then \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a satellite knot for any integer \( n \neq 0, 1 \); \( K_{\Delta,1} \) is a torus knot or a hyperbolic knot, respectively.

(2) If \((K, \Delta)\) has a form other than those in (1), then \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a satellite knot for any non-zero integer \( n \).

\[c\]
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Let us now give some examples of hyperbolic twisting pairs \((K, \Delta)\) such that \(K_{\Delta,1}\) is not a hyperbolic knot. By taking the mirror image of the pair, we can obtain a hyperbolic twisting pair \((\bar{K}, \bar{\Delta})\) such that \(\bar{K}_{\bar{\Delta},-1}\) is not a hyperbolic knot.

**Example 1 (Producing torus knots from hyperbolic pairs).**

![Figure 3](image)

In Figure 1, \((K, \Delta)\) is a hyperbolic pair, but \(K_{\Delta,1}\) is a trefoil knot. In [5, Theorem 1.3], [29, p.2293], we find other examples of hyperbolic pairs \((K, \Delta)\) such that \(K_{\Delta,1}\) is a torus knot.

**Example 2 (Producing satellite knots from hyperbolic pairs).**

![Figure 4](image)
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In Figure 4 (1) $K_{D,1}$ is a connected sum of two torus knots [22]; we found examples of composite twisted knots in [6, 27].

In Figure 4 (2), $(K, \Delta)$ is a hyperbolic pair ([18]), but $K_{\Delta,1}$ is a $(23, 2)$-cable of a $(4, 3)$-torus knot [5, Theorem 1.4], [29].

Every known satellite twisted knot has a special type: a connected sum of a torus knot and some prime knot, or a cable of a torus knot. So we would like to ask:

Question. Let $(K, \Delta)$ be a hyperbolic, twisting pair. If the resulting twisted knot is satellite, then is it a connected sum of a torus knot and some prime knot, or a cable of a torus knot?

In the proof of Proposition 1.3, we will use the intersection graphs, which come from a meridian disk of the solid torus $S^3 - \text{int}N(K)$ and an essential 2-torus in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_{D,n})$. In Section 3 we will define the pair of graphs and prepare some terminologies. The sketch of the proof of Proposition 1.3 will be given there. The results of this paper has been announced in [1].

2. Twistings on non-hyperbolic twisting pairs

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof. Let $T$ be an essential torus in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c)$, where $c = \partial \Delta$. Then there are two possibilities:

- $T$ does not separate $\partial N(K)$ and $\partial N(c)$,
- $T$ separates $\partial N(K)$ and $\partial N(c)$.

Case 1 – $T$ does not separate $\partial N(K)$ and $\partial N(c)$.

Let $V$ be a solid torus bounded by $T$ ([24, p.107]). Since $T$ is essential in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c)$, $K$ and $c$ are contained in $V$ and $V$ is knotted in $S^3$. Furthermore, since $K$ (resp. $c$) is unknotted in $S^3$, there is a 3-ball $B_K$ (resp. $B_c$) in $V$ which contains $K$ (resp. $c$) in its interior; but there is no 3-ball in $V$ which contains $K \cup c$.

Since $c \subset B_c \subset V$, we have a meridian disk $D_V$ of $V$ such that $c \cap D_V = \emptyset$. Then the algebraic intersection number of $K_{\Delta,n}$ and a meridian disk $D_V$ of $V$ coincides with that of $K$ and $D_V$, which is zero, because that $K \subset B_K$. Therefore, $K_{\Delta,n}$ is not a core of $V$. 
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Since \( V \) is knotted in \( S^3 \), the claim below shows that \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a satellite knot with a companion knot \( \ell \) (the core of \( V \)) for any non-zero integer \( n \).

**Lemma 2.1.** \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is not contained in a 3-ball in \( V \) for any non-zero integer \( n \).

**Proof.** Let \( M \) be a 3-manifold \( V - \text{int}N(K) \). Then \( M - \text{int}N(c) = V - \text{int}N(K \cup c) \) is irreducible and boundary-irreducible. Assume for a contradiction that \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is contained in a 3-ball in \( V \) for some non-zero integer \( n \). Then \( M(c; -\frac{1}{n}) \cong V - K_{\Delta,n} \) is reducible. Then from \([26, \text{Theorem 6.1}]\), we see that \( c \) is cabled and the surgery slope \(-\frac{1}{n}\) is the slope of the cabling annulus, which is an integer \( p \) such that \(| p | \geq 2\), a contradiction. Thus \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is not contained in a 3-ball in \( V \) for any non-zero integer \( n \). \( \square \)

**Case 2 – \( T \) separates \( \partial N(K) \) and \( \partial N(c) \).**

The torus \( T \) cuts \( S^3 \) into two 3-manifolds \( V \) and \( W \). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( K \subset V \), \( c \subset W \). Now we show that \( V \) is an unknotted solid torus in \( S^3 \). The solid torus theorem \([24, \text{p.107}]\) shows that \( V \) or \( W \) is a solid torus. Suppose first that \( V \) is a solid torus. Since \( T \) is essential in \( S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c) \), \( K \) is not contained in a 3-ball in \( V \) and not a core of \( V \). Furthermore, since \( K \) is unknotted in \( S^3 \), \( V \) is unknotted in \( S^3 \). If \( W \) is a solid torus, then since \( c \) is also unknotted in \( S^3 \), the above argument shows that \( W \) is unknotted in \( S^3 \), and hence \( V \) is also an unknotted solid torus. Let \( \ell \) be a core of \( V \). Since \( T \) is essential in \( S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c) \) (because \( T \) is not parallel to \( \partial N(c) \)), \( \ell \) intersects the twisting disk \( \Delta \) more than once: \((\ell, \Delta)\) is also a twisting pair.

If \( \ell_{\Delta,n} \) is knotted in \( S^3 \), then \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a satellite knot with a companion knot \( \ell_{\Delta,n} \). Assume that \( \ell_{\Delta,n} \) is unknotted in \( S^3 \) for some non-zero integer \( n \). Then from \([17, \text{Corollary 3.1}], [16, \text{Theorem 4.2}]\), we have the situation as in Figure 2 (i) and \( n = -1 \), or Figure 2 (ii) and \( n = 1 \).

In either case, we have:

**Lemma 2.2.** For any toroidal pair \((K, \Delta)\), \( K_{\Delta,n} \) is a satellite knot if \(|n| > 1\).

Suppose that \((K, \Delta)\) is a pair shown in Figure 2 (i) (resp.(ii)). Since \( \ell_{\Delta,-1} \) (resp. \( \ell_{\Delta,1} \)) is also unknotted in \( S^3 \) and the linking number of \( \ell \) and \( \partial \Delta \) is two, we see that \( K_{\Delta,-1} \) (resp. \( K_{\Delta,1} \)) can be regarded as the result
of \(-4\)-twist (resp. \(4\)-twist) along the meridian disk \(D_V\) of \(V\): 
\[
K_{\Delta,-1} = K_{D_V,-4} \quad \text{(resp. } K_{\Delta,1} = K_{D_V,4}).
\]

If \(V - \text{int}N(K)\) is neither Seifert fibered nor hyperbolic, i.e., \(V - \text{int}N(K)\) is toroidal, then by Lemma 2.2, \(K_{\Delta,-1} = K_{D_V,-4}\) (resp. \(K_{\Delta,1} = K_{D_V,4}\)) is a satellite knot. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5 (2).

Suppose that \((K, \Delta)\) is a pair shown in Figure 2 (i) (resp. (ii)) in which \(V - \text{int}N(K)\) is Seifert fibered or hyperbolic. As we mentioned above, except for \(n = -1\) (resp. \(n = 1\)) \(\ell_{\Delta,n}\) is knotted and \(K_{\Delta,n}\) is a satellite knot. To finish the proof we consider the exceptional cases. If \(V - \text{int}N(K)\) is Seifert fibered, then \(K_{\Delta,-1} = K_{D_V,-4}\) (resp. \(K_{\Delta,1} = K_{D_V,4}\)) is a torus knot by Proposition 1.4. If \(V - \text{int}N(K)\) is hyperbolic, then by Theorem 1.2 (for hyperbolic twisting pairs), \(K_{\Delta,-1} = K_{D_V,-4}\) (resp. \(K_{\Delta,1} = K_{D_V,4}\)) is a hyperbolic knot.

3. Twistings on hyperbolic twisting pairs

Assume that \((K, \Delta)\) is a hyperbolic twisting pair and \(K_{\Delta,n}\) is non-hyperbolic. Then \(K_{\Delta,n}\) is a torus knot or a satellite knot. If \(K_{\Delta,n}\) is a torus knot, then [21, Theorem 3.8] (which was essentially shown in [19]) shows that \(|n| \leq 1\).

So in the following, we assume that \(K_{\Delta,n}\) is a satellite knot.

For notational convenience, we set \(c = \partial \Delta\) and \(K_n = K_{\Delta,n}\). Let \(M\) be the exterior \(S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c)\), and \(M(r)\) the manifold obtained by \(r\)-Dehn filling along \(\partial N(c)\). Then we have \(M(1/0) \cong S^3 - \text{int}N(K) \cong S^1 \times D^2\) and \(M(-1/n) \cong S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n)\). We denote the image of \(c\) in \(M(-1/n)\) by \(c_n\), so that \(c_0 = c \subset M(1/0)\) and \(c_n \subset M(-1/n)\).

Let \(\hat{D}\) be a meridian disk of \(M(1/0)\). Isotope \(\hat{D}\) so that the number of components \(|\hat{D} \cap N(c)| = q\) is minimal among meridian disks of \(M(1/0)\). If \(q = 0\), then \(K \cup c\) would be a split link contradicting the hyperbolicity of \(K \cup c\). If \(q = 1\), then \(K \cup c\) is a Hopf link contradicting again the hyperbolicity of \(K \cup c\). Henceforth we assume that \(q \geq 2\).

Put \(D = \hat{D} \cap M\), which is a punctured disk, with \(q\) inner boundary components each of which has slope \(1/0\) on \(\partial N(c)\), and a single outer boundary component \(\partial \hat{D}\).

Since \(K_n\) is a satellite knot, the exterior \(S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n) = M(-1/n)\) contains an essential torus \(\hat{T}\). By the solid torus theorem [24, p.107] \(\hat{T}\) bounds a solid torus \(V\) in \(S^3\) containing \(K_n\) in its interior. The core of \(V\)
is denoted by $\ell$, which is a non-trivial companion knot of $K_n$. Note that since $M = S^3 - \text{int} N(K \cup c)$ is assumed to be hyperbolic, $\hat{T} \cap c_n \neq \emptyset$. We choose $\hat{T}$ so that $\hat{T} \cap N(c_n)$ is a union of meridian disks and $|\hat{T} \cap N(c_n)| = t$ is minimal. Since $\hat{T}$ is separating, $t(\neq 0)$ is an even integer.

Let $\tilde{T} = \hat{T} \cap M$ be a punctured torus, with $t$ boundary components each of which has slope $-1/n$ on $\partial N(c)$.

We assume further that $D$ and $T$ intersect transversely and $\partial D$ and $\partial T$ intersect in the minimal number of points so that each inner boundary component of $D$ intersects each boundary component of $T$ in exactly $|n|$ points on $\partial N(c)$.

**Lemma 3.1.** The surfaces $D$ and $T$ are essential in $M$.

**Proof.** The minimality of $q$ implies that $D$ is essential in $M$. Since $\hat{T}$ is essential in $M(-1/n)$ and $t$ is minimal, $T$ is also essential in $M$. \qed

Let us define two associated graphs $G_D$ and $G_T$ on $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{T}$ respectively, in the usual way (see [7] for more details). We recall some definitions. The (fat) vertices of $G_T$ (resp. $G_D$) are the disks $\hat{T} - \text{int} T$ (resp. $\hat{D} - \text{int} D$). The edges of $G_T$ (resp. $G_D$) are the arc components of $D \cap T$ in $\hat{T}$ (resp. in $\hat{D}$). We number the components of $\partial T$ by $1, 2, \ldots, t$ in the order in which they appear on $\partial N(c)$. Similarly, we number $1, 2, \ldots, q$ the inner boundary components of $D$. This gives a numbering of the vertices of $G_D$ and $G_T$. Furthermore, it induces a labelling of the endpoints of the edges: the label at one endpoint of an edge in one graph corresponds to the number of the boundary component of the other surface (the vertex of the other graph) that contains this endpoint. We next give a sign, + or −, to each vertex of $G_D$ (resp. $G_T$), according to the direction on $\partial N(c) \subset M$ of the orientation of the corresponding boundary component of $D$ (resp. $T$), induced by some chosen orientation of $D$ (resp. $T$). Two vertices on $G_D$ (resp. $G_T$) are said to be parallel if they have the same sign, in other words, the corresponding boundaries of $D$ (resp. $T$) are homologous on $\partial N(c)$; otherwise the vertices are said to be antiparallel.

To prove Proposition 1.3, in what follows, we assume that the twisted knot $K_n$ is a satellite knot for some $n$ with $|n| \geq 2$. Since $M(1/0)$ is a solid torus and $M(-1/n) = E(K_n)$ is a toroidal manifold, from Theorems 1.1 and 6.1 in [11] we may assume that $|n| = \Delta(\frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{0}) = 2$, and that $t = 2$. 38
Let $V_1$ and $V_2$ be the two vertices of $G_T$; they have opposite signs, because $T$ is separating. Since $T$ is incompressible, by cut and paste arguments, we may assume that there is no circle component of $T \cap D$, which bounds a disk in $D$. Therefore we can divide the faces of $G_D$ into the black faces and the white faces, according to the face is in $V$ or $S^3 - V$, respectively.

**Definition (great web)** A connected subgraph $\Lambda$ of $G_D$ is a web if
(i) all the vertices of $\Lambda$ have the same sign,
(ii) there are at most two edge-endpoints where the fat vertices of $\Lambda$ are incident to edges of $G_D$ that are not edges of $\Lambda$. (We refer to such an edge as a ghost edge of $\Lambda$ and the label of such an endpoint as a ghost label.)

Furthermore, if the web $\Lambda$ satisfies the following condition, then we call $\Lambda$ a great web.
(iii) $\Lambda$ is contained in the interior of a disk $D_\Lambda \subset \hat{D}$ with the property that $\Lambda$ contains all the edges of $G_D$ that lie in $D_\Lambda$. See Figure 5.
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We recall the following fundamental result established by Gordon and Luecke.

**Lemma 3.2** ([11]). $G_D$ contains a great web.

*Proof.* Since $H_1(M(1/0)) \cong H_1(S^1 \times D^2)$ does not have a non-trivial torsion, $G_T$ does not represent all types ([11, Theorem 2.2]) and therefore $G_D$ contains a great web [11, Theorem 2.3].

Let us take an innermost great web $\Lambda$ in $G_D$ and a disk $D_\Lambda$ whose existence are assured by Lemma 3.2. Since $\Lambda$ is connected, its faces are some disk faces and an annulus face $f_0$ ($f_0 \supset \partial \hat{D}$).
A vertex $v$ is called a boundary vertex if $v \cap f_0 \neq \emptyset$; otherwise $v$ is called an interior vertex. An edge $e$ is called a boundary edge if $e \subset f_0$; otherwise $e$ is called an interior edge. A disk face $f$ of $\Lambda$ is called an interior face if every vertex of $f$ is an interior vertex.

A Scharlemann cycle is a cycle $\sigma$ which bounds a disk face $f$ (called a Scharlemann disk) whose vertices have the same sign and all the edges of $\sigma$ have the same pair of consecutive labels $\{i, i+1\}$, so we refer to such a Scharlemann cycle as an $\{i, i+1\}$-Scharlemann cycle. Any Scharlemann cycle of $G_D$ have the same labels $\{1, 2\}$. The number of the edges in $\sigma$ is referred to as the length of the Scharlemann cycle $\sigma$ or the length of the Scharlemann disk $f$. A trivial loop is an edge which bounds a disk face; i.e., a Scharlemann cycle of length one. By Lemma 3.1 $G_D$ does not contain a trivial loop. In the following, a Scharlemann cycle is assumed to be of length at least two.

We recall the following from [10, Lemma 8.2]. Although the proof of [10, Lemma 8.2] works in our situation without changes, for convenience, we give a proof.

**Lemma 3.3.** The graph $G_D$ contains a black Scharlemann disk and a white Scharlemann disk; furthermore at least one of them has length 2 or 3.

**Proof.** Since $t = 2$ and all the vertices of $\Lambda$ have the same sign, the boundary of each disk face of $\Lambda$ is a Scharlemann disk. Thus it is sufficient to show that there exist black and white disk faces in $\Lambda$. Let $d$ be the number of vertices of $\Lambda$ and $E$ the number of edges of $\Lambda$. Since $\Lambda$ has at most two ghost labels, $E \geq \frac{1}{2}(4d - 2) = 2d - 1$. Therefore an Euler-Poincaré characteristic calculus gives:

$$F = \sum_{f: \text{face of } \Lambda} \chi(f) = E - d + 1 \geq d$$

Note that since $\chi(f_0) = 0$, $F$ coincides with number of disk faces of $\Lambda$. Since $G_D$ contains no trivial loop, each disk face has at least two edges in its boundary. Each edge of $\Lambda$ has two sides with distinct colors (black and white), because $\hat{T}$ is separating in $S^3$.

Now assume for a contradiction that there are only white faces. Then $E \geq 2F = 2E - 2d + 2$, and hence $E \leq 2d - 2$. This contradicts $E \geq 2d - 1$. It follows that there exists a black disk face. Similar argument shows that there exists a white disk face.
To prove the second part, suppose for a contradiction that each disk face of \( \Lambda \) has length at least four. Then we have \( 4F < 2E \). On the other hand, since \( E \geq 2d - 1 \), we have \( \frac{1}{2}E > F = E - d + 1 \geq E - \frac{E+1}{2} + 1 = \frac{E+1}{2} \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

In the following, a black (resp. white) Scharlemann disk is referred to as a black (resp. white) disk face.

Let \( H_{12} \) be the 1-handle \( N(C) \cap V \) and \( H_{21} \) the 1-handle \( N(C) \cap (S^3 - V) \); \( \partial V_1 \) and \( \partial V_2 \) give a partition of \( \partial N(C) \) into two annuli \( \partial H_{12} - \hat{T} \) in the black side and \( \partial H_{21} - \hat{T} \) in the white side.

Let \( f \) be a disk face bounded by a Scharlemann cycle \( \sigma \) of \( G_D \). Then the subgraph of \( G_T \) consisting of the two vertices \( V_1, V_2 \) and the corresponding edges of \( \partial f = \sigma \) in \( G_T \) is called the support of \( f \) and denoted by \( f^* \).

**Lemma 3.4.** If \( f \) is a disk face in \( G_D \), then its support \( f^* \) cannot be contained in a disk in \( \hat{T} \).

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a disk \( Z \) in \( \hat{T} \) which contains the support \( f^* \). Consider a regular neighborhood \( M = N(Z \cup H \cup f) \) of \( (Z \cup H \cup f) \), where \( H \) is \( H_{12} \) or \( H_{21} \) according to whether \( f \) lies in \( V \) or \( S^3 - V \), respectively. Then \( M \) is a punctured (non-trivial) lens space in \( S^3 \), a contradiction (see \([3]\) or \([25]\) for more details). \( \square \)

**Definition 3.5.** Two edges in \( G_T \) are said to be parallel, if they cobound a disk in \( \hat{T} \). Let \( G_T(\Lambda) \) be the subgraph of \( G_T \) consisting of the two vertices \( V_1, V_2 \) and all the corresponding edges of \( \Lambda \). Since all the vertices in \( \Lambda \) have the same sign, the edges in \( G_T(\Lambda) \) join \( V_1 \) to \( V_2 \) by the parity rule \([3]\). Therefore there are at most four edge classes in \( G_T \), i.e., isotopy classes of non-loop edges of \( G_T \) in \( \hat{T} \) rel \( \{V_1, V_2\} \), which we call \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta \) as illustrated in Figure 6 (after some homeomorphism of \( \hat{T} \)). Representatives of distinct edge classes are not parallel.

Let \( \mu \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\} \). Such a label \( \mu \) is referred to as the edge class label of \( e \). An edge \( e \) in \( G_D \) (not necessarily in \( \Lambda \)) is called a \( \mu \)-edge if the corresponding edge \( e \) in \( G_T \) belongs to \( \mu \)-edge class.

Similarly, an edge \( e \) is said to be a \( (\mu, \lambda) \)-edge if \( e \) is a \( \mu \)-edge or a \( \lambda \)-edge, for two distinct edge class labels \( \mu, \lambda \). A cycle in \( G_D \) is called a \( \mu \)-cycle, if it consists of only \( \mu \)-edges.

Let \( f \) be a disk face of \( \Lambda \). We define \( \rho(f) \) to be the sequence (which is defined up to cyclic permutation) of edge class labels around \( \partial f \), in the anti-clockwise direction, see Figure 7.
Let $f$ be a disk face in $G_D$. If $\rho(f) = \mu^n$ for some edge class label $\mu \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$, then the support $f^*$ lies in a disk in $\hat{T}$, contradicting Lemma 3.4. We say that $f$ is primitive if $\rho(f) = \mu^x\lambda$ (up to cyclic permutation) for some $\mu, \lambda \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$ ($\mu \neq \lambda$) and $x$ a positive integer.

**Lemma 3.6.** If $f$ is a Scharlemann disk of length at most three, then $f$ is primitive.

**Proof.** This follows from [10, Lemma 3.7] and Lemma 3.4. \qed

We conclude this section with a sketch of the proof of Proposition 1.3.

**Sketch of the proof of Proposition 1.3**
Suppose that \(K_n = K_{\Delta, n}\) is a satellite knot for some hyperbolic, twisting pair \((K, \Delta)\) and \(n\) with \(|n| > 1\). We keep this assumption through Sections 4–7.

By Lemma 3.3 we have a disk face \(f\) of length at most three, which is primitive (Lemma 3.6) and hence its support is in an annulus in \(\hat{T}\). The existence of such a disk face \(f\) assures the assumption of Propositions 3.7 or 3.8 below depending on whether \(f\) is black or white.

**Proposition 3.7** (see Section 4 for its proof). If \(\Lambda\) contains a black face whose support lies in an annulus in \(\hat{T}\), then \(K_{D, n}\) is a non-trivial cable of \(\ell\).

**Proposition 3.8** (see Section 5 for its proof). If \(\Lambda\) contains a white primitive face, then the companion knot \(\ell\) is a non-trivial torus knot.

To complete a proof of Proposition 1.3, we need another disk face \(g\) with the opposite colour of \(f\). More precisely, if \(f\) is white, then we need \(g\) to be black whose support lies in an annulus in \(\hat{T}\); if \(f\) is black, then we need \(g\) to be white and primitive. This is given by the following two propositions.

**Proposition 3.9** (see Section 6 for its proof).
(i) All black faces of \(\Lambda\) are isomorphic, i.e., if \(g, h\) are black faces of \(\Lambda\) then \(\rho(g) = \rho(h)\); (ii) If the disk face \(f\) is black, then \(\Lambda\) contains a white primitive face.

**Proposition 3.10** (see Section 5 for the proof of (i) and Section 7 for that of (ii)). (i) \(\Lambda\) cannot contain two white primitive faces with exactly one edge class label in common. (ii) If the disk face \(f\) is white, then \(\Lambda\) contains a black face whose support lies in an annulus in \(\hat{T}\).

Note that the proof of Proposition 1.3 in [12, Appendix A.2] is based on *good and binary faces*, which are disk faces with only two edge class labels, and such that one of them never appears successively twice around its boundary.
4. Topology of black disk faces supported by annuli

Recall that we have assumed that $K_n = K_{\Delta, n}$ is a satellite knot for some hyperbolic, twisting pair $(K, \Delta)$ and $n$ with $|n| > 1$. The goal in this section is to prove Proposition 3.7

Let $f_b$ be a black disk face with the support $f_b^*$ in an annulus $A$ in $\hat{T}$. Let $M_1 = N(A \cup H_{12} \cup f_b)$ be a regular neighbourhood of $A \cup H_{12} \cup f_b$. Push $M_1$ slightly inside $V$ so that $M_1 \cap \partial V = A$; put $T_1 = \partial M_1$ and $B = T_1 - \text{int}A$. Note that $A$ is an essential annulus in $\hat{T}$ by Lemma 3.4. Put $A' = \hat{T} - \text{int}A$, $T_2 = A' \cup B$, and let $M_2$ be a 3-manifold in $V$ bounded by $T_2$, see Figure 8. Thus $V = M_1 \cup_B M_2$ and $K_n$ lies in $\text{int}M_2$.

![Figure 8](image)

First we show that $A$ is not a meridional annulus of $V$. Suppose that $A$ is a meridional annulus (whose core bounds a meridian disk $D_A$), then we have the following two possibilities depending on whether $B \cap D_A = \emptyset$ or $B \cap D_A \neq \emptyset$, see Figure 9 below.

![Figure 9](image)

In the former case, $K_n$ is contained in a 3-ball in $V$, a contradiction. So assume that the latter happens. Note that $T_2 \cap c_n = \emptyset$ and $M_2$ is a
solid torus. Since $M_2$ is knotted in $S^3$ (because that $V$ is knotted in $S^3$) and there is no 3-ball in $M_2 \subset V$ containing $K_n$, $T_2$ is incompressible in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n)$, and hence, in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n \cup c_n)$. This then implies, together with the hyperbolicity of $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n \cup c)$, that $T_2$ is parallel to $\partial N(K_n)$, i.e., $K_n$ is a core of $M_2$. If the annulus $B$ is parallel to $A$, then it turns out that $T$ is also parallel to $\partial N(K_n)$ contradicting the choice of $V$. Hence $M_1$ is a non-trivial knot exterior in a solid torus, as shown in Figure 10.

It follows that $K_n$ is a composite knot. Then from [6, 13] we see that $|n| = 1$, contradicting the initial assumption.

Hence $A$ is not a meridional annulus in $V$. Thus $A$ is incompressible in $V$, and hence $B$ ($\partial B = \partial A$) is also incompressible in $V$. This implies that $B$ is a boundary-parallel annulus in $V$. If $B$ is parallel to $A$, then $M_2$ (which is disjoint from $c_n$) is isotopic to $V$ and $T_2$ is an essential torus in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n)$, and hence in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n \cup c_n)$, contradicting the hyperbolicity of $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n \cup c_n)$.

Therefore $B$ is parallel to $A'$; $B$ and $A'$ cobounds the solid torus $M_2$ (which is disjoint from $c_n$).

Thus the core of $M_2$ is a cable of $\ell$ (a core of $V$). If $T_2$ is not parallel to $\partial N(K_n)$, then $T_2$ is an essential torus in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n \cup c_n)$, contradicting the hyperbolicity of $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n \cup c_n) = S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c)$. Hence $T_2$ is parallel to $\partial N(K_n)$, i.e., $K_n$ is a core of $M_2$. It follows that $K_n$ is a cable of $\ell$ ($B$ wraps more than once in longitudinal direction of $V$).

5. Topology of white primitive disk faces

In this section we prove Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.10 (i).
5.1. **Proof of Proposition 3.8**

Let $f_w$ be a white primitive disk face of $\Lambda$, satisfying $\rho(f_w) = \mu^x \lambda$ for two distinct edge class labels $\mu, \lambda$ and $x > 0$. Note that the support $f_w^*$ lies in an essential annulus $A_w$ in $\tilde{T}$.

Let $M_{f_w} = N(A_w \cup H_{21} \cup f_w)$, which is a regular neighborhood of $A_w \cup H_{21} \cup f_w$ pushed slightly outside $V$, so that $T_3 = \partial M_{f_w} = A_w \cup B_w$, where $B_W$ is an annulus properly embedded in $S^3 - \text{int} V$ (see Figure 11).

![Figure 11](image)

Put $A'_w = \tilde{T} - \text{int} A_w$, $T_4 = A'_w \cup B_w$. Denote by $N_{f_w}$ the 3-manifold in $S^3 - \text{int} V$ bounded by $T_4$; $S^3 - \text{int} V = M_{f_w} \cup B_w \ N_{f_w}$.

**Claim 5.1.** $M_{f_w}$ is a solid torus. Furthermore, the core of $A_w$ wraps $p$ times the core of the solid torus $M_{f_w}$, where $p = x + 1$.

**Proof.** This was shown in [10, Lemma 3.7]. For convenience of readers, we give a proof here.

Note that $N(A_w) \cup H_{21}$ is a genus two handlebody and $M_{f_w}$ is obtained from $N(A_w) \cup H_{21}$ by attaching a 2-handle $N(f_w)$. Let $m_1$ be a co-core of $H_{21}$ intersecting $\partial f_w$ $p$ times in the same direction. Since $\sigma = \partial f_w$ has exactly one edge with the edge class label $\lambda$, we can choose a meridian disk $m_2$ of $N(A_w)$ intersecting $\partial f_w$ once. Then $m_1, m_2$ form a set of meridional disks for the genus two handlebody $N(A_w) \cup H_{21}$. Since $\partial f_w$ intersects $m_2$ once, $\partial f_w$ is primitive and $M_{f_w}$ is a solid torus. (This is the reason why we call $f$ a primitive disk face.) Furthermore since the
core of \( A_w \) intersects \( m_2 \) once and misses \( m_1 \), the core of \( A_w \) is homotopic to \( p \) times the core of the solid torus \( M_{f_w} \).

**Claim 5.2.** \( N_{f_w} \) is a solid torus.

**Proof.** Assume for a contradiction that \( N_{f_w} \) is not a solid torus. Then, by the solid torus theorem [24, p.107], \( Y = S^3 - \text{int} N_{f_w} = V \cup A_w \ M_{f_w} \) is a (knotted) solid torus in \( S^3 \). Note that \( Y \) contains \( K_n \) and \( c_n \) in its interior. If there is a 3-ball in \( Y = V \cup A_w \ M_{f_w} \) containing \( K_n \), then using the incompressibility of \( A_w \) in \( S^3 - \text{int} V \), we can find a 3-ball in \( V \) containing \( K_n \), a contradiction. Thus \( \partial Y \) is incompressible in \( S^3 - \text{int} N(K_n) \), hence \( S^3 - \text{int} N(K_n \cup c_n) \). Clearly \( \partial Y \) is not parallel to \( \partial N(c_n) \). It follows from the hyperbolicity of \( S^3 - \text{int} N(K_n \cup c_n) \), \( \partial Y \) is parallel to \( \partial N(K_n) \), i.e., \( K_n \) is a core of the solid torus \( Y \).

Let \( D_Y \) be a meridian disk of \( Y = V \cup A_w \ M_{f_w} \) intersecting \( K_n \) exactly once. Assume further, by an isotopy, that \( D_Y \) intersects \( A_w \) transversely. Let \( D \) be a closure of a component of \( D_Y - (D_Y \cap A_w) \) intersecting \( K_n \). Then \( D \) is a meridian disk of \( V \) intersecting \( K_n \) exactly once. Since \( V \) is a knotted solid torus and \( K_n \) is not a core of \( V \), \( K_n \) is a composite knot (of the form \( \ell\sharp k \) for some non-trivial knot \( k \), where \( \ell \) is a core of \( V \)). Applying [6, 13], we can conclude that \( |n| = 1 \), contradicting the initial assumption. It follows that \( N_{f_w} \) is a solid torus.

From Claims 5.1 and 5.2, we see that \( S^3 - \text{int} V \) is the union of two solid tori \( M_{f_w} \) and \( N_{f_w} \) such that \( M_{f_w} \cap N_{f_w} \) is the annulus \( B_w \). Since \( A_w = \partial M_{f_w} - \text{int} B_w \) wraps \( p \) times in the longitudinal direction of \( M_{f_w} \), the annulus \( B_w \) wraps also \( p \) times in the longitudinal direction of \( M_{f_w} \). If \( B_w \) is a meridian of \( N_{f_w} \), then the knot exterior \( S^3 - \text{int} V = M_{f_w} \cup B_w \ N_{f_w} \) contains a (non trivial) punctured lens space, a contradiction. Thus \( B_w \) wraps \( q \geq 1 \) times in longitudinal direction of \( N_{f_w} \), and hence \( S^3 - \text{int} V = M_{f_w} \cup B_w \ N_{f_w} \) is a Seifert fiber space over a disk with at most two exceptional fibers of indices \( p \geq 2 \) and \( q \). Since \( V \) is knotted in \( S^3 \), \( S^3 - \text{int} V = M_{f_w} \cup B_w \ N_{f_w} \) is not a solid torus. Hence \( q \geq 2 \) and \( \ell \) (a core of \( V \)) is a non-trivial torus knot \( T_{p,q} \) in \( S^3 \).

### 5.2. Proof of Proposition 3.10 (i)

Let us now prove Proposition 3.10 (i) in the following formulation.
Proposition 5.3. Assume that $\Lambda$ contains two white primitive disk faces $f$ and $g$. Let $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$ such that $\rho(f) = f_1 f_2^m$ and $\rho(g) = g_1 g_2^n$, where $m, n$ are positive integers. Then $\{f_1, f_2\} = \{g_1, g_2\}$ or $\{f_1, f_2\} \cap \{g_1, g_2\} = \emptyset$.

Proof. We suppose for a contradiction that $\{f_1, f_2\} \cap \{g_1, g_2\} = \{\delta\}$, where $\delta = f_1$ or $f_2$. We repeat the construction and arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.8.

Let $A_f, A_g$ be annuli in $\widehat{T}$ which contains the support of $f$ and $g$, respectively. Let $a_f, a_g$ be the cores of the annuli $A_f, A_g$, respectively. Then the minimal geometric intersection number between $a_f$ and $a_g$ is one: $|a_f \cap a_g| = 1$.

Let us recall the argument in Proposition 3.8; we use the analogous notations.

$$M_f = N(A_f \cup H_{21} \cup f),$$

$$B_f = \partial M_f - \text{int} A_f,$$

$$N_f = S^3 - \text{int}(V \cup M_f) \subset S^3 - \text{int} V,$$

$$A'_f = \partial N_f - \text{int} B_f.$$

So $\widehat{T} = A_f \cup A'_f$ and $S^3 - \text{int} V = M_f \cup B_f \cup N_f$.

By the proof of Proposition 3.8, $M_f, N_f$ are solid tori and $S^3 - \text{int} V$ is a Seifert fiber space over a disk with two exceptional fibers which are the core of $M_f$ and $N_f$. The annulus $B_f$ is essential in $S^3 - \text{int} V$. We repeat the analogous construction of Proposition 3.8 for the white disk face $g$:

$$M_g = N(A_g \cup H_{21} \cup g),$$

$$N_g = S^3 - \text{int}(V \cup M_g) \subset S^3 - \text{int} V,$$

$$B_g = \partial M_g - \text{int} A_g,$$

and $A'_g = \widehat{T} - A_g$. Then $S^3 - \text{int} V = M_g \cup B_g \cup N_g$.

In the same way as for $f$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.8) we show that $N_g$ and $M_g$ are solid tori and $B_g$ is an essential annulus properly embedded in $S^3 - \text{int} V$.

Then $B_g$ is isotopic to $B_f$ in $S^3 - \text{int} V$, because that $S^3 - \text{int} V$ is a Seifert fiber space over a disk with two exceptional fibers and any essential annulus is isotopic to $B_f$. Hence a component of $\partial B_f$ (which is isotopic to $a_f$ on $\widehat{T}$) and a component of $\partial B_g$ (which is isotopic to $a_g$ on $\widehat{T}$) are isotopic on $\widehat{T}$, contradicting $|a_f \cap a_g| = 1$. $\square$
6. Existence of white primitive disk faces

This section is devoted to prove Proposition 3.9.

6.1. Proof of Proposition 3.9 (i)

Put $M = V - \text{int}N(K_n)$ and $W = M - H_{12}$. Then $\partial W$ has two boundary components: $\partial W_+ = T \cup (\partial H_{12} - \hat{T})$, which is a surface of genus two, and $\partial W_- = \partial N(K_n)$.

Let $f_b$ be a black disk face of $\Lambda$ bounded by a Scharlemann cycle $\sigma_b$. Since all the vertices of $\sigma_b$ have the same sign, $\partial f_b$ is a non-separating (essential) simple closed curve on $\partial W_+$. Consider $Z = N(\hat{T} \cup H_{12} \cup f_b) \subset M$. Then $\partial Z$ has two tori $\hat{T}$ and $T_Z$. Let $V'$ be the 3-manifold in $V$ bounded by $T_Z$, which contains $K_n$ in its interior.

Claim 6.1. $T_Z$ is parallel to $\partial N(K_n)$.

Proof. If $T_Z$ is compressible in $V' - \text{int}N(K_n)$, then there would be a 3-ball in $V' \subset V$ containing $K_n$, a contradiction. If $T_Z$ is compressible in $S^3 - \text{int}V'$, then $S^3 - \text{int}V'$ is a solid torus. Since $\hat{T}$ is incompressible in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n)$, it is also incompressible in the solid torus $S^3 - \text{int}V'$, a contradiction. Therefore $T_Z$ is incompressible in $S^3 - \text{int}N(K_n)$, hence in $S^3 - \text{int}(N(K_n \cup c_n))$ and $T_Z$ is not parallel to $\partial N(c_n)$. The initial assumption of the hyperbolicity of $S^3 - \text{int}(N(K_n \cup c_n)) \cong S^3 - \text{int}(K \cup c)$ implies that $T_Z$ is parallel to $\partial N(K_n)$. □

From Claim 6.1, we see that $W$ can be regarded as a manifold obtained from $\partial N(K_n) \times [0,1]$ by attaching $N(f_b)$ as a 1-handle (i.e., $W$ is a compression body). Then $f_b$ is the unique non-separating disk in $W$, up to isotopy.

Now we follow the argument in [11, Lemma 5.6] to show that all the black disk faces of $\Lambda$ are isomorphic. Let $g_b$ be another black disk face of $\Lambda$. Since $f_b$ and $g_b$ are isotopic in $W$, $\partial f_b$ and $\partial g_b$ are isotopic in $\partial W_+$, and hence freely homotopic in $\hat{T} \cup H_{12}$.

We re-label edge class labels by $\gamma = 1$, $\theta = \alpha \beta$. Then $\pi_1(\hat{T} \cup H_{12}) \cong \pi_1(\hat{T}) \ast \mathbb{Z}$, where, taking as base-*point* a disk neighborhood in $\hat{T}$ of an edge in $G_T$ in edge class 1, $\pi_1(\hat{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ has basis $\{\alpha, \beta\}$, represented by edges in the correspondingly named edge classes, oriented from $V_2$ to $V_1$, and $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $x$ represented by an arc in $H_{12}$ going from $V_1$ to $V_2$. 49
Then if $\rho(f_b) = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_m$, then $\partial f_b$ represents $\gamma_1 x \gamma_2 x \cdots \gamma_m x \in \pi_1(\hat{T}) * Z$.

Similarly if $\rho(g_b) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$, then $\partial g_b$ represents $\lambda_1 x \lambda_2 x \cdots \lambda_n x \in \pi_1(\hat{T}) * Z$. Since $\partial f_b$ and $\partial g_b$ are homotopic in $\hat{T} \cup H_{12}$, we conclude that the corresponding sequences $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ and $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m$ are equal, up to cyclic permutation, i.e., $f_b$ and $g_b$ are isomorphic.

6.2. Proof of Proposition 3.9 (ii)

A disk face of length two or three is called a bigon or a trigon, respectively. Assume that the disk face $f$ given by Lemma 3.3 is black, which has length at most three. Then, by Proposition 3.9 (i), all the black disk faces of $\Lambda$ are isomorphic bigons or isomorphic trigons. Furthermore, they are primitive by Lemma 3.6.

We begin by observing the following:

Claim 6.2. Let $v$ be a vertex of $\Lambda$ and $e$, $e'$ edges of $\Lambda$ incident to $v$ with the same label. Then $e$ and $e'$ have distinct edge class labels.

Proof. This follows from [11, Lemma 5.3]. If $e$ and $e'$ have the same edge class label, then they would be parallel in $G_T$, and hence would cobound a family of $q + 1$ parallel edges of $G_T$. This then implies that $M = S^3 - \text{int}N(K \cup c)$ contains a cable space ([8, p.130, Case(2)]), contradicting the hyperbolicity of $M$. \hfill \Box

Now assume that two isomorphic black bigons (resp. trigons) $g_1$ and $g_2$ of $\Lambda$, with $\rho(g_i) = \mu \lambda$ (resp. $\rho(g_i) = \mu^2 \lambda$) are incident to a same vertex $v$ of $\Lambda$. Then by Claim 6.2 the labels of the edges incident to $v$ are $\lambda$, $\mu$, $\mu$, $\lambda$ in cyclic order around $v$ as in Figure 12 below. We call this a property $(\ast)$.  

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
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\caption{Figure 12}
\end{figure}

The proof of Proposition 3.9 is divided into two cases depending on whether the black disk faces are bigons or trigons.
Lemma 6.3. If all the black disk faces of \( \Lambda \) are isomorphic bigons, then there exists a white primitive disk face.

Proof. Assume that for each black bigon \( g \), we have \( \rho(g) = \mu \lambda \), for some edge class labels \( \mu, \lambda \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\} \) (\( \mu \neq \lambda \)). There are three cases to consider: \( \Lambda \) has

1. no vertex with ghost labels,
2. exactly one vertex with ghost labels, and
3. two vertices with ghost labels.

Case (1): \( \Lambda \) has no vertex with ghost labels.

If the annulus face \( f_0 \) is white, then \( \Lambda \) has a unique white face \( f \) surrounded by black bigons. By the property (\() all the edges of \( \partial f \) have the same label, say \( \mu \), see Figure 13.

Then the support \( f^* \) of the white Scharlemann disk \( f \) lies in a disk, contradicting Lemma 3.4.

Hence \( f_0 \) is black. Let \( v_0 \) be a boundary vertex of \( \Lambda \). Then there is a black bigon \( b_0 \), which joins \( v_0 \) to another vertex \( v_1 \). If \( v_1 \) is an interior vertex, then since the valence of \( v_1 \) is four, \( v_1 \) is incident to another black bigon \( b_1 \). Repeating this until we arrive at a boundary vertex, we obtain a sequence of black bigons \( b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n \) such that \( b_i \) and \( b_{i+1} \) are incident to the same interior vertices; see Figure 14. The sequences of black bigons obtained in this manner (for all the boundary vertices) give a partition of the disk bounded by boundary edges of \( \Lambda \) into several white disk faces. Let \( f \) be an outermost white disk face (which has only one boundary edge). From property (\( * \)), we see that \( \rho(f) = \mu^n \delta \) or \( \rho(f) = \lambda^n \delta \), for some \( \delta \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\} \); (note that the boundary vertex \( v_0 \) may not satisfy the property (\( * \))). Thus \( f \) is a required white primitive disk face.
Case (2): $\Lambda$ has a unique vertex $v_0$ with ghost edges.
Since each vertex of $\Lambda$ except for $v_0$ has valence four and the number of edge endpoints of $\Lambda$ is even, $v_0$ has two ghost labels.

Let $\Lambda' \subset D_\Lambda$ be a graph obtained from $\Lambda$ by connecting two arcs incident to $v_0$ with ghost labels as shown in Figure 15; the additional edge obtained in such a manner is called an extra edge. Then the annulus face $f_0$ is replaced by two faces: a disk face $f_0^-$ and an annulus face $f_0^+$ with distinct colors. Then $f_0^+$ contains all the boundary edges, see Figure 15.

If $f_0^-$ is black, then $v_0$ is incident to a black bigon $b_0$ of of $\Lambda$, which is incident to another vertex $v_1$. Since $v_1$ has valence four and has no ghost
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labels, we have another black bigon incident to $v_1$. By repeating this, we obtain an infinite sequence of black bigons, contradicting the finiteness of the graph $\Lambda$, see Figure 15 (i). Thus $f_0^+$ is black. Applying the same argument in Case (1), we can find a required white primitive disk face $f$ as in Figure 15 (ii).

Case (3): $\Lambda$ has two vertices $v_1$ and $v_2$ each of which has a ghost label. As in Case (2) we consider a graph $\Lambda' \subset D\Lambda$ which is obtained from $\Lambda$ by connecting two arcs incident to $v_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) with ghost labels, see Figure 16.

![Figure 16](image)

The annulus face $f_0$ is replaced by a disk face $f_0^-$ and an annulus face $f_0^+$ with distinct colors; the boundary vertices $v_1$ and $v_2$ are contained in their boundaries.

First we assume that the disk face $f_0^-$ is black (as in Figure 16). Then since $f_0^+$ is white, every edge in $\partial f_0^+$ other than the extra edge is an edge of a black bigon of $\Lambda$.

If $\partial f_0^-$ has only two vertices $v_1$ and $v_2$, then $\Lambda$ has a unique white disk face surrounded by black bigons; except $f_0^-$ each bigon is a bigon in $\Lambda$, Figure 16 (i). By property (*), the white disk face is primitive, see the argument in Case (1).

So we assume that $\partial f_0^-$ contains a vertex $w_0$ other than $v_1$, $v_2$ as shown in Figure 16 (ii). Then there is a black bigon $b_0$ incident to $w_0$. We follow the argument in Case (1). The black bigon $b_0$ connects $w_0$ and another vertex $w_1$. Note that $w_1$ is not in $\partial f_0^+$, because each vertex in $\partial f_0^+$ has
been already incident to two bigons each of which has an edge in \( \partial f_0^+ \). If \( w_1 \) is interior vertex, then we have a black bigon connecting \( w_1 \) and another vertex, and repeating this, we obtain a sequence of black bigons \( b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n \) such that \( b_i \) and \( b_{i+1} \) are incident to the same interior vertex and that \( b_0 \) and \( b_n \) are incident to distinct boundary vertices in \( \partial f_0^- \). These sequences give a partition of the disk bounded by boundary edges of \( \Lambda \) into several white disk faces. Let \( f \) be an outermost white disk face (which has only one boundary edge in \( \partial f_0^- \)). By the property \((*)\), \( \rho(f) = \mu^n\delta \) or \( \rho(f) = \lambda^n\delta \), for some \( \delta \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\} \), see Figure 16 (ii). Thus \( f \) is a required white primitive disk face.

Next suppose that the disk face \( f_0^- \) is white (i.e., \( f_0^+ \) is black). If \( \partial f_0^+ \) has exactly two vertices, then we have a required white primitive disk face \( f \) as in Figure 17 (i) below. Assume that \( \partial f_0^+ \) has more than two vertices. Choose a vertex \( w_0 \) in \( \partial f_0^+ \) which is not in \( \partial f_0^- \). Then we apply the same argument as above (\( w_1 \) may be in \( \partial f_0^- \)) to find a required white primitive disk face \( f \), see Figure 17 (ii).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure17.png}
\caption{Figure 17}
\end{figure}

Lemma 6.4. If all the black disk faces of \( \Lambda \) are isomorphic trigons, then there exists a white primitive disk face.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, we can assume that for each black trigon \( g \) of \( \Lambda \), \( \rho(g) = \mu^2\lambda \) for some \( \mu, \lambda \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\} \).

We start with the following observation:
Claim 6.5. Let $g$ be a black trigon of $\Lambda$. Then at most two vertices of $g$ can be incident to black trigons of $\Lambda$.

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that a black disk face $g$ is incident to three black trigons. Without loss of generality, the edge class labels appear around $g$ as in Figure 18.

Then at least one vertex, say $v_3$ in Figure 18, fails to satisfy the property (*), a contradiction. \hfill \Box

Let us first assume that $\Lambda$ has more than four boundary vertices. The interior edges of $\Lambda$ decompose the disk bounded by $\Lambda$ into several disk faces.

Claim 6.6. There exists an outermost disk face $f$ which has a single boundary edge $e$ of $\Lambda$ connecting two boundary vertices $x$ and $y$ to none of which ghost edges are incident.

Proof. Assume that $\Lambda - \{\text{boundary edges}\}$ is connected; then each outermost disk face of $\Lambda$ has only single boundary edge. Since the number of outermost disk faces, which coincides with the number of boundary vertices, is greater than four, we can find a required outermost disk face. Next suppose that $\Lambda - \{\text{boundary edges}\}$ is not connected. If some component $\Lambda'$ of $\Lambda - \{\text{boundary edges}\}$ has a single boundary vertex $v$, then $\Lambda'$ consists of the single vertex $v$ and two ghost labels (without edges of $\Lambda$). Because if $v$ has no ghost edges, then the component is also a great web, contradicting the minimality of $\Lambda$ (Figure 19 (i)); if $v$ has only one ghost edge, then the both (local) sides of the edge $e$ incident to $v$ have the same color as shown in Figure 19 (ii), a contradiction.
Case (1): There is a component with a single boundary vertex. Then this vertex has two ghost labels as above. Let $\Lambda_0$ be any other component, which has at least two boundary vertices and none of which has ghost labels. Then an outermost disk face cut off by $\Lambda_0$ is the required disk face.

Case (2): There is no component with a single boundary vertex. Then each component has at least two boundary vertices. If we have more than two components, then since there are at most two ghost edges, some component has no ghost edges, which cuts off a required disk face. If we have exactly two components, since we have at most two ghost edges, there are two possibilities: each component has a ghost edge, or exactly one of them has two ghost edges. In the former case, there would exist an edge whose both sides have the same color (Figure 20 (i)), a contradiction. In the latter case, let $\Lambda_0$ be a component having no ghost edges (Figure 20 (ii)). Then as above $\Lambda_0$ cuts off a required disk face.

Let us choose an outermost disk face $f$ with a single boundary edge $e$ as in Claim 6.6. Suppose that $f$ is a black disk face with the third vertex $z$. If the vertex $z$ is an interior vertex, then $f$ is incident to three trigons at $x, y$ and $z$, contradicting Claim 6.5. Thus $z$ is also a boundary vertex. Since $x$ (resp. $y$) has no ghost edges and it has valence four, we can take another black trigon $f_x$ (resp. $f_y$) incident to $x$ (resp. $y$); denote the other two vertices of $f_x$ by $v, w$, see Figure 21. We choose $v$ so that the edge in $f_x$ connecting $x$ and $v$ is an interior edge of $\Lambda$. Since both vertices $x$ and
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Figure 20

$y$ satisfy the property $(\ast)$, $e$ has the edge class label $\lambda$ and two edges of $f_x$ incident to $x$ have distinct edge class label $\mu, \lambda$ as in Figure 21. This then implies that the edges of $f_x$ incident to $v$ both have the same edge class label $\mu$ (because $\rho(f_x) = \mu^2 \lambda$). Thus $v$ cannot satisfy the property $(\ast)$ and hence it is a boundary vertex of $\Lambda$. If there is no boundary vertex between $z$ and $v$, then three vertices $x, z$ and $v$ determines a white trigon, which is primitive, see Figure 21.

Figure 21

Let us suppose that there is another boundary vertex between $z$ and $v$, see Figure 22. By Claim 6.5, each black trigon contains a boundary vertex. This then implies that there is a white bigon or trigon (Figure 22), which is primitive by Lemma 3.6.
Suppose that $f$ is a white disk face. Then by the property $(\ast)$ all the edges of $f$, except for the boundary edge $e$, have the same label $\mu$ or $\lambda$. Thus $f$ is a required white primitive disk face, see Figure 23.

It follows that if $\Lambda$ has more than four boundary vertices, there is a desired white primitive disk face.

If the number of boundary vertices of $\Lambda$ is less than four, then it is not difficult to show that we can find a required white primitive disk face or there would be a black trigon whose three vertices are incident to black trigons, contradicting Claim 6.5.

Assume that $\Lambda$ has exactly four boundary vertices. If there is a boundary edge incident to two vertices without ghost labels (Figure 24 (i)), then we consider the disk face $f$, which contains the boundary edge $e$ as in Figure 24 (i).

We apply the previous argument to show that $\Lambda$ contains a white primitive disk face as desired. So we may suppose that each boundary edge has
a vertex with a ghost label as in Figure 24 (ii). Then up to symmetry Λ has a form described in Figure 24 (ii), because each black trigon is incident to at most two black trigons (Claim 6.5).

![Figure 24]

So we have a required white primitive disk face $f$ as in Figure 24 (ii). This completes a proof of Lemma 6.4. □

7. Existence of black disk faces supported by annuli

This section is devoted to a proof of Proposition 3.10 (ii). Notice that the arguments are close to those in [12].

Assume that the disk face $f$ given by Lemme 3.3 is white, which has length $\leq 3$. Then by Lemma 3.6, we assume throughout this section (if necessary changing the edge class labels) that $\rho(f) = \alpha^n \beta$ with $n = 1$ or 2.

**Claim 7.1.** Let $g$ be a primitive disk face of Λ with $\rho(g) = \mu^m \lambda$ for some $\mu, \lambda \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$ such that $\{\mu, \lambda\} \neq \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\{\mu, \lambda\} \cap \{\alpha, \beta\} \neq \emptyset$, e.g. $\{\mu, \lambda\} = \{\alpha, \gamma\}$. Then $g$ is a black disk face whose support is lying in an annulus in $\tilde{T}$.

**Proof.** Proposition 3.10 (i) shows that $g$ cannot be white, i.e., $g$ is black. Since $g$ is primitive, its support lies in an annulus in $\tilde{T}$. □

In the following, we assume, if necessary changing the notations $\gamma, \theta$, that the $\gamma$-family (i.e., the set of $\gamma$-edges) is adjacent to the $\alpha$-family around $\partial V_1$ (Figure 6). Consequently, the $\theta$-family is adjacent to the $\beta$-family.
7.1. Oriented dual graphs

Let us construct an oriented dual graph $\Gamma$ ([10, p. 633]), which has an essential role in the proof of Proposition 3.10. For each face $f$ of $\Lambda$, choose a dual vertex $v$ so that $v \in \text{int} f$. Each edge $e$ of $\Lambda$ has two sides, i.e., black side and white side, each of which has a unique dual vertex. An edge $\varepsilon$ of $\Gamma$ is an edge transverse to $e$ which joins the above two dual vertices. We call $\varepsilon$ a dual edge of $e$ and $e$ an associated edge of $\varepsilon$. Finally we put an orientation on each edge of $\Gamma$ according to the following rule: For each edge $\varepsilon$ of $\Gamma$ which is a dual edge of $e$ with edge class label $\delta \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$, we fix an orientation $WB$ or $BW$, say as indicated in Figure 25.

For later convenience, we say that an edge $e$ of $\Lambda$ is a $BW$-edge (resp. $WB$-edge) if its dual edge $\varepsilon$ of $\Gamma$ has an orientation $BW$ (resp. $WB$). Let us denote the dual graph with dual orientation defined in Figure 25 by $\Gamma_{\alpha,\gamma}$. Similarly if the dual orientation is chosen as indicated in Figure 26, then the dual graph with such a dual orientation is denoted by $\Gamma_{\beta,\gamma,\theta}$.

For each vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, let $s(v)$ be the number of switches (i.e., changes in orientation of successive edges) around $v$, and for each face $f$ of $\Gamma$, let
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$s(f)$ be the number of switches (i.e., same orientation of successive edges) around $\partial f$; see Figure 27.

![Figure 27](image1)

Define the index of a vertex $v$ or face $f$ by

$$I(v) = \chi(f_v) - \frac{s(v)}{2}, \quad I(f) = 1 - \frac{s(f)}{2},$$

where $f_v$ denotes the face of $\Lambda$ containing the dual vertex $v$. We say that a vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$ is a positive vertex if $I(v) > 0$. We say that a face $f$ of $\Gamma$ is a cycle-face if $I(f) > 0$. (By definition $I(f) \leq 1$, so $f$ is a cycle face if and only if $I(f) = 1$.) A fat vertex $X$ of $\Lambda$ is a cycle-vertex if the corresponding face of $\Gamma$ is a cycle-face, see Figure 28.

![Figure 28](image2)
In the following, we say that an edge of $\Lambda$ is an $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge (resp. a $(\beta, \theta)$-edge) if it is an $\alpha$-edge or a $\gamma$-edge (resp. a $\beta$-edge or a $\theta$-edge). Similarly an edge $e$ of $\Lambda$ is said to be a $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$-edge if $e$ is not an $\alpha$-edge.

Let us denote $\partial_\Lambda f_0 = \partial f_0 \cap \Lambda$; recall that $f_0$ is the single annulus-face of $\Lambda$. After fixing an oriented dual graph $\Gamma$, we say that a cycle of $\Lambda$ is a BW-cycle (resp. WB-cycle) if it consists of BW-edges (resp. WB-edges).

**Lemma 7.2.** Let $\Gamma$ be an oriented dual graph such that the BW-edges (resp. WB-edges) of $\Lambda$ are adjacent families around $\partial V_1$.

Assume that $\Gamma$ does not contain a positive vertex, then we have the following:

1. $\Lambda$ has exactly two cycle-vertices $X_1$ and $X_2$. Furthermore, both of them have one ghost label.
2. $\partial_\Lambda f_0$ is a BW-cycle (resp. WB-cycle) and both $X_1$, $X_2$ are incident to an interior WB-edge (resp. BW-edge).
3. For each disk face $h$ of $\Lambda$, there exist positive integers $m, n$ such that

$$\rho(h) = \delta_1^1 \delta_1^2 \ldots \delta_m^1 \delta_2^2 \ldots \delta_n^2 \text{ (up to cyclic permutation),}$$

where the $\delta_1^i$'s are BW-edges and the $\delta_2^j$'s are WB-edges.
4. $\partial_\Lambda f_0$ is not a $\delta$-cycle, for $\delta \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$.

**Proof.** Following [4] or [9] we have:

**Claim 7.3.** $\sum I(v) + \sum I(f) = 1$ (summed over all vertices $v$ and all faces $f$ of $\Gamma$).

**Proof.** Recall that all faces of $\Lambda$ in $D_\Lambda$, except the outermost annulus face $f_0$, are disk faces, and that $d$ (the number of vertices of $\Lambda$) coincides with the number of faces of $\Gamma$.

Let $V, E$ be the number of vertices, edges of $\Gamma$, respectively.

Then we have:

1. $\sum I(v) = \sum \chi(f_v) - \sum \frac{s(v)}{2} = (V - 1) - \sum \frac{s(v)}{2}$, and
2. $\sum I(f) = d - \sum \frac{s(f)}{2} = \sum \chi(f) + 1 - \sum \frac{s(f)}{2}$. 
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Each corner between adjacent edges at a vertex contributes exactly 1 to \( \sum s(v) + \sum s(f) \), the Euler formula, together with (1), (2), gives

\[
1 = V - E + \sum \chi(f) \\
= V - \frac{\text{the number of corners}}{2} + \sum \chi(f) \\
= V - \frac{\sum s(v) + \sum s(f)}{2} + \sum \chi(f) \\
= \sum I(v) + \sum I(f).
\]

Assume that there is no positive vertex of \( \Gamma \).

(1) By Claim 7.3, there exists a cycle-face \( f \) in \( \Lambda \). We denote by \( X \) an associated cycle-vertex, and \( x \) the corresponding label in \( G_T \).

**Claim 7.4.** A cycle-vertex \( X \) cannot have valence four.

**Proof.** Assume for a contradiction that \( X \) has valence four. Then the edges around \( \partial X \) incident to the label 1 are BW-edges (resp. WB-edges) and the edges around \( \partial X \) incident to the label 2 are WB-edges (resp. BW-edges), see Figure 28.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the BW-edges have label 1 at \( \partial X \); and that the WB-edges have label 2 at \( \partial X \). Thus \( \partial V_1 \) contains the label \( x \) twice at the adjacent families of BW-edges; and similarly, \( \partial V_2 \) contains the label \( x \) twice at the adjacent families of WB-edges.

If \( \Lambda \) has no ghost edge, then there are at least \( d+1 \) BW-edges of \( G_T(\Lambda) \) and the same is true for the WB-edges. On the other hand, there are only 2\( d \) edges in \( \Lambda \), a contradiction.

If \( \Lambda \) has ghost edges, then there are two possibilities: we have a boundary vertex incident to two ghost edges, or we have two boundary vertices each of which has a single ghost edge (see the proof of Lemma 6.3). In either case, ghost labels are distinct and there are at least \( d \) BW-edges and \( d \) WB-edges. However there are only \( 2d - 1 \) edges in \( \Lambda \), a contradiction. \( \Box \)

Let \( v_0 \) be the dual vertex which corresponds to the annulus face \( f_0 \) of \( \Lambda \). Then \( I(v_0) = -s(v_0)/2 \). By Claim 7.4 the valence of \( X \) is two or three, in particular, \( X \) is a boundary vertex (with ghost edges). Therefore, there is a switch at \( v_0 \) and thus \( I(v_0) \leq -1 \), see Figure 29. Consequently \( I(f) + I(v_0) \leq 0 \). Thus by Claim 7.3 there is another cycle-face. Since \( \Lambda \) has
at most two ghost edges, by Claim 7.4 there are exactly two cycle-vertices, and both of them have valence three.

\[ \text{Figure 29} \]

(2) Let us denote \( X_1, X_2 \) the two cycle-vertices, and \( x_1, x_2 \) their corresponding labels in \( G_T \). Without loss of generality, we may assume that the ghost label at \( X_1 \) is 2. Then the two edges of \( \Lambda \) incident to \( X_1 \) at the label 1 are BW-edges or both of them are WB-edges; we may assume that these edges are BW-edges. Note that if there is a WB-edge in \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \), then \( s(v_0) \geq 4 \) and so \( I(v_0) \leq -2 \), see Figure 30. By Claim 7.3,

\[ \sum_{v \neq v_0} I(v) + \sum_{f \neq f_1, f_2} I(f) \geq 1. \]

This then implies that there is a face with positive index, i.e., cycle-vertex other than \( X_1, X_2 \), contradicting (1). It follows that all the edges in \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \) are BW-edges. Furthermore, the interior edges incident to \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are WB-edges, since they are cycle-vertices.

(3) Let \( h \) be a disk face of \( \Lambda \). It is sufficient to observe that, up to cyclic permutation, all the BW-edges are successive. Assume not, then there are at least four switches at \( v_h \) and \( I(v_h) < -1 \), where \( v_h \) is the dual vertex of \( h \). Furthermore, from (1) we see that \( v_0 \) has at least two switches and \( I(v_0) \leq -1 \). Since we have assumed that there is no positive vertex, \( I(v) \leq 0 \) for \( v \neq v_0, v_h \). Hence Claim 7.3 shows that

\[ \sum I(f) \geq 1 - (I(v_0) + I(v_h) + \sum_{v \neq v_0, v_h} I(v)) \geq 3. \]
This is impossible, because that only $X_1, X_2$ are cycle-vertex (by (1)) and $\sum I(f) \leq 2$.

(4) Let $\delta \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$. If $\partial_{\Lambda} f_0$ is a $\delta$-cycle, then there would be two $\delta$-edges incident to $X_1$ at label 1 (or 2), contradicting Claim 6.2. □

Let $h$ be a disk face of $\Lambda$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2$ in $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta\}$. The boundary of $h$ is an alternative sequence of edges of $\Lambda$ and corners. Let $C$ be a corner on $\partial h$. Then $C$ is an arc on the boundary of a fat vertex $X$ of $\Lambda$; we say that $C$ is an $X$-corner. Furthermore, we say that $C$ is a $<\delta_1,\delta_2>$-corner if the edge incident to $C$ with label 1 (resp. 2) has edge class label $\delta_1$ (resp. $\delta_2$), see Figure 31.

When $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$, we say simply that $C$ is a $<\delta>$-corner. Note that $\partial h$ has a $<\delta>$-corner if and only if there are two successive $\delta$-edges on $\partial h$.

Recall that $f$ is a white disk face of $\Lambda$ such that $\rho(f) = \alpha^n\beta$ ($n = 1$ or 2). Thus there are an $<\alpha, \beta>$-corner and a $<\beta, \alpha>$-corner : see Figure 32, where the $\alpha$-family is adjacent to the $\beta$-family around $\partial V_1$. Notice that in such a figure, the edges are corners; in this one they are $(\alpha, \beta)$-corners. Note that if we put edge class labels as in Figure 6, then
for an orientation of $\partial V_1$ and $\partial V_2$, edges class labels appear in cyclic order $\alpha, \beta, \theta, \gamma$ around $\partial V_1$ and $\alpha, \gamma, \theta, \beta$ around $\partial V_2$.

Figure 32

We divide the proof of Propositions 3.10 (ii) into two cases according to whether the $\theta$-family and the $\gamma$-family are non-empty (Case 1) or at least one of them is empty (Case 2).

7.2. Proof of Proposition 3.10 (ii)–Case 1

In this subsection, we assume that: The $\gamma$-family and the $\theta$-family are non-empty.

Recall that $\Gamma_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\beta,\theta}$ is the oriented dual graph, for which $\alpha, \gamma$ are BW-edges and $\beta, \theta$ are WB-edges.

Lemma 7.5. If there is no black disk face whose the support lies in an annulus in $\hat{T}$, then there exists a positive vertex in $\Gamma_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\beta,\theta}$.

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that $\Gamma_{\beta,\theta}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ does not have a positive vertex. Then applying Lemma 7.2, we see that $\partial_{\Lambda} f_0$ is a BW-cycle (i.e., a $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle) or a WB-cycle (i.e., a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle). Whithout loss of generality, we may assume that $\partial_{\Lambda} f_0$ is a $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle.

Claim 7.6. All the vertices of $\Lambda$, except for $X_1$ and $X_2$, are incident to $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges with both labels 1 and 2.

Proof. There is a sequence of edges (i.e., a subgraph homeomorphic to a simple arc when vertices are considered as points) in $\partial_{\Lambda} f_0$ that joins the
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cycle vertices $X_1$ and $X_2$; we assume that the ghost label at $X_1$ is 2 and that of $X_2$ is 1. Then the two edges of $\Lambda$ incident to $X_1$ (resp. $X_2$) at the label 1 (resp. 2) are both $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges. Thus, in $G_T(\Lambda)$, the vertex $V_1$ has two labels $x_1$ at endpoints of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges; and similarly, the vertex $V_2$ has two labels $x_2$ at endpoints of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges. Therefore all the vertices of $\Lambda$, except for $X_1$ and $X_2$, are incident to $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges with both labels 1 and 2. □

Let us choose an $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle $\tau$ as follows. If there is no interior $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge, then $\tau$ is $\partial \Lambda f_0$. If there are interior $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges, then $\tau$ is the union of a sequence of (successive) boundary $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ connecting boundary vertices $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ and a sequence of (successive) interior $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$ connecting $Y_1$ and $Y_2$; the sequence of interior edges is oriented in the sense that for some orientation of the sequence, each edge in the sequence is oriented from the label 1 to the label 2, see Figure 33. A cycle is said to be oriented if for some orientation of the cycle, each edge in the cycle is oriented from the label 1 to the label 2.

Claim 7.7. If $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\beta, \theta}$ does not contain a positive vertex, then $\Lambda$ does not contain an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle.

Proof. Assume that we have an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle. Let $\tau$ be an innermost one which bounds a disk $D_\tau$ in $D_\Lambda$.

If there are vertices in $\text{int} D_\tau$, one can find an oriented sequence $\sigma$ of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges in $\overline{D_\tau}$, which joins two vertices of $\tau$ (otherwise $\sigma$ is an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle). This sequence divides $D_\tau$ into two subdisks; the boundary of one of them is an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle, contradicting the minimality of $\tau$. Thus there is no vertex in $\text{int} D_\tau$.  

Figure 33

Claim 7.7. If $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\beta, \theta}$ does not contain a positive vertex, then $\Lambda$ does not contain an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle.

Proof. Assume that we have an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle. Let $\tau$ be an innermost one which bounds a disk $D_\tau$ in $D_\Lambda$.

If there are vertices in $\text{int} D_\tau$, one can find an oriented sequence $\sigma$ of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges in $\overline{D_\tau}$, which joins two vertices of $\tau$ (otherwise $\sigma$ is an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle). This sequence divides $D_\tau$ into two subdisks; the boundary of one of them is an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle, contradicting the minimality of $\tau$. Thus there is no vertex in $\text{int} D_\tau$.  
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We call a diagonal edge in $D_\tau$ an edge of $G_T$ in $\overline{D_\tau}$ which is not in $\tau$. If there is a diagonal $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge $e$, then $e$ divides $D_\tau$ into two subdisks; the boundary of one of them is an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle, contradicting the minimality of $\tau$. Hence there is no diagonal $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge in int $D_\tau$. If there is no diagonal edge then the vertex $v_\tau$ of $\Gamma$ corresponding to $D_\tau$ satisfies $I(v_\tau) = 1$, i.e. $v_\tau$ is a positive vertex of $\Gamma$.

Note that each vertex in $\tau$ is incident to no diagonal edges or exactly two diagonal edges, because the cycle is oriented, see Figure 34.

Therefore, if there is a diagonal edge then $G_T$ contains a cycle in $D_\tau$ consisting of diagonal edges, each of which is a $(\beta, \theta)$-edge. This then implies that the disk face bounded by this cycle corresponds to a dual vertex $v$ with $I(v) = 1$, i.e., $v$ is a positive vertex of $\Gamma_{\beta, \theta}$.

In particular, $\tau$ is not an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle. We assume that $\tau$ is an innermost $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle as above, which bounds a disk $D_\tau$. Since $\tau$ is innermost, there are no vertices in int $D_\tau$, for otherwise, we can find a smaller $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle in $D_\tau$. An edge of $G_T$ in $\overline{D_\tau}$ which is not in $\tau$ is called a diagonal edge in $D_\tau$. Since $\tau$ is innermost, every diagonal edge is a $(\beta, \theta)$-edge. If $n \neq 0$, we denote by $Y_1$ (resp. $Y_2$) the vertices in $\tau$ incident to $e_1$ and $e_1$ (resp. $e_m$ and $e_n$). If $n = 0$ (i.e., $\tau = \partial_\Delta f_0$), then we put $Y_i = X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Since $\partial_\Delta f_0$ is a $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle, a $\beta$-edge and a $\theta$-edge appear only as an interior edge. Furthermore, since the $\theta$-family is assumed to be non-empty, each black disk face contains a $\beta$-edge and a $\theta$-edge on its boundary (because the black disk faces all are isomorphic).
Claim 7.8. \( \Lambda \) has neither a white \( < \gamma, \theta > \)-corner nor a white \( < \theta, \gamma > \)-corner. In particular, \( \Lambda \) does not contain a disk face bounded by a \( (\gamma, \theta) \)-cycle.

Proof. Suppose first that the \( \alpha \)-family is successive to the \( \theta \)-family. Recall that \( \partial f \) contains \( < \alpha, \beta > \)-corner and a \( < \beta, \alpha > \)-corner. Then as in Figure 35, there is neither a white \( < \theta, \gamma > \)-corner nor a white \( < \gamma, \theta > \)-corner.

![Figure 35](image.png)

So we assume that the \( \alpha \)-family is successive to the \( \beta \)-family.

Let \( p_i \) \((i = 1, 2)\) be the endpoint of the ghost edge incident to \( X_i \).

Exchanging \( X_1 \) by \( X_2 \), if necessary, we may assume that \( p_i \) lies in \( \partial V_i \).

Following [12], we say that an edge of \( \Lambda \) is extremal if the corresponding edge of \( G_T \) does not lie strictly between parallel edges of \( G_T \) (edges are parallel if they lie in the same isotopy class relative to \( \partial T \)). By Theorem [12, Theorem 2.1], \( G_D \) contains a great web \( \Lambda \) such that all ghost edges are extremal. The fact that \( \Lambda \) is innermost is self-contained in the proof of [12, Theorem 2.1]. In all the following, we assume that we choose such an innermost and extremal great web.

Recall that \( \partial_0 J_0 \) is a \((\alpha, \gamma)\)-cycle, and the interior edges incident to \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are \((\beta, \gamma)\)-edges (Figure 36 (i)). On the other hand the boundary edges incident to \( X_1 \) (resp. \( X_2 \)) are \((\alpha, \gamma)\)-edges both labeled by 2 (resp. 1). Therefore all the labels appear on \( \partial V_2 \) (resp. \( \partial V_1 \)) among the successive \((\alpha, \gamma)\)-edges; in particular the label \( x_2 \) corresponding to \( X_2 \) (resp. the label \( x_1 \) corresponding to \( X_1 \)) which is precisely \( p_2 \) (resp. \( p_1 \)). Furthermore \( \Lambda \)
is extremal, thus the endpoints $p_i$ lie between the $\alpha$-family and the $\gamma$-family around $\partial V_i$, possibly in their boundary: see (Figure 36 (ii)). To be more precise, consider the corner around $\partial V_i$ between the $\alpha$-family and the $\gamma$-family; then $p_i$ lies in the closure of this family.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 36**

There is an alternating sequence of corners and edges in $\partial \Lambda f_0$ joining $p_1$ to $p_2$ which is a part of the boundary of the white face in $G_D$ just outside of $\Lambda$. Thus we have a white corner connecting $p_1$ and an endpoint of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge ($< p_1, \alpha$ or $\gamma >$-corner) and a white corner connecting an endpoint of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge and $p_2$ ($< \alpha$ or $\gamma, p_2 >$-corner). An existence of white $< p_1, \alpha$ or $\gamma >$-corner is an obstruction to an existence of white $< \gamma, \theta >$-corner, and similarly an existence of white $< \alpha$ or $\gamma, p_2 >$-corner is an obstruction to an existence of white $< \theta, \gamma >$-corner, see Figure 37.

Assume that $\Lambda$ contains a disk face $h$ bounded by a $(\gamma, \theta)$-cycle. Since each black disk face should have a $\beta$-edge, $h$ is a white disk face. Then $\partial h$ contains white $(< \gamma, \theta >$ and $< \theta, \gamma >)$-corners, a contradiction. □

From Claim 7.8, we see that there is no $\theta$-edge parallel to a boundary edge. If we have such a $\theta$-edge, then we have a bigon bounded by an $(\alpha, \theta)$-cycle or a $(\gamma, \theta)$-cycle. In either case the bigon would be white (because it has no $\beta$-edge). However the former possibility contradicts Claim 7.1 and the latter possibility contradicts Claim 7.8.

A vertex of $\tau$ incident to an $\epsilon_i$ edge is called **interior vertex of $\tau$**. Since the sequence of interior edges of $\tau$ is oriented we have the following property:

*The interior vertices of $\tau$ are incident to no diagonal edges or exactly two diagonal edges, and the boundary vertices other than $\{Y_1, Y_2, X_1, X_2\}$ are incident to exactly two diagonal edges. If $X_i$ lies between $Y_1$ and $Y_2$,*
then exactly one interior edge is incident to $X_i$ ($i = 1$ or $2$). For simplicity, we call this Property $(\tau)$.

We may assume that there is no $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle in $D_\tau$, because it represents a positive vertex of $\Gamma^\alpha_\beta$, contradicting the initial assumption of Lemma 7.5.

Since $\tau$ is not an oriented $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle (Claim 7.7), $\tau$ contains at least two boundary vertices, and hence a boundary edge.

**Claim 7.9.** There is a $\theta$-edge in $D_\tau$.

**Proof.** Since $\tau$ is not an oriented cycle, there is a diagonal edge in $D_\tau$. Thus $D_\tau$ contains a black disk face, which has a $\beta$-edge and $\theta$-edge in its boundary. \(\square\)

Let $e_0$ be a $\theta$-edge in $D_\tau$ joining two vertices. Then $e_0$ divides $D_\tau$ into two subdisks $D^1_\tau$ and $D^2_\tau$. At least one of them, say $D_1$, contains a boundary edge. If $D_1$ contains an interior $\theta$-edge $e_1$, then $e_1$ divides $D^1_\tau$ into two subdisks $D^1_1$ and $D^1_2$; one of them (say $D^1_1$) contains a boundary
We repeat the process until we get an outermost $\theta$-edge $e$. Then $e$ divides $D_\tau$ into two subdisks; say $D_1$ and $D_2$ for convenience. First, we want to show that both contain a boundary edge. Assume that $D_1$ does not contain a boundary edge. By Property $(\tau)$, if one interior vertex in $D_1$ is incident to a diagonal edge (distinct to $e$) then $D_1$ contains a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle; a contradiction. Then $D_1$ is a disk face. Since all black disk faces are isomorphic and contain a $\beta$-edge, $D_1$ is white. By Claim 7.8, the edges adjacent to $e$ around $D_1$ are $\alpha$-edges. By Proposition 3.10 (i) $\rho(D_1) \neq \alpha^p \theta$ (where $p$ is an integer); thus $\partial D_1$ contains a $\gamma$-edge. Since all black disk faces are isomorphic, all black disk faces contain an $\alpha$-edge and a $\gamma$-edge on their boundaries. Consider now the black disk face incident to $e$. By Property $(\tau)$, its boundary contains at most one edge which is not a diagonal edge; this is a contradiction because diagonal edges are $(\beta, \theta)$-edges and there is no $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle.

Then, by an outermost argument, we may assume that an outermost $\theta$-edge $e$ divides $D_\tau$ into two subdisks $D_1$ and $D_2$, such that $D_1$ contains a boundary edge, but does not contain another $\theta$-edge. By the isomorphism of black disk faces, either $D_1$ is a white disk face, or $D_1$ contains a single black disk face (which contains the $\theta$-edge $e$ on its boundary) and possible white disk faces.

Claim 7.10. If $h$ is a disk face of $\tau$, then $\partial h$ contains at most one boundary edge.

Proof. Let $h$ be a disk face of $\tau$. Assume for a contradiction that $\partial h$ contains two distinct boundary edges $e_1$ and $e_2$. By the Property $(\tau)$ they are not successive around $\partial h$. Therefore $h$ does not satisfy Lemma 7.2 (3); which is a contradiction.

Claim 7.11. The disk $D_1$ is not a white disk face.

Proof. Let $e'$ be a boundary edge on $\partial D_1$. Assume for a contradiction that $D_1$ is a white disk face. By Claim 7.10 $D_1$ contains a single boundary edge $e'$. Since the $\theta$-edge $e$ is not parallel to the boundary edge $e'$, $\partial D_1$ has length at least three. If neither endpoint of $e$ is incident to a boundary vertex, then it turns out that $\tau$ is oriented, a contradiction. Thus exactly one endpoint of $e$ is incident to a boundary vertex $U$. $U$ is incident to both $e$ and $e'$. Hence $\partial D_1$ consists of successive family of edges $e, e'$ and interior edges $e_1, \ldots, e_k$ (or $e_k, \ldots, e_m$). By Claim 7.8 both edges successive to $e$ are $\alpha$-edges. Thus $\partial D_1$ contains an interior $\alpha$-edge. Since black disk faces
of $\Lambda$ are isomorphic, this then implies that each black disk face has an $\alpha$-edge on its boundary.

Let $h$ be the black disk face having $e$ on its boundary. Let $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k$ be the sequence of the successive edges around $\partial h$ such that both $\eta_1$ and $\eta_k$ are successive to $e$ and that $\eta_1$ is incident to the boundary vertex $U$ and $\eta_k$ is incident to the interior vertex $V$ (where $V$ is the vertex incident to $e$ and distinct to $U$, see Figure 38).

If $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k$ are diagonal edges, then $\partial h$ is a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle, a contradiction. Hence $\eta_i$ is not a diagonal edge for some $i$ (so lies in $\tau$). Note also that since $V$ is an interior vertex, $\eta_k$ is an interior edge, and hence $\eta_1$ joins $U$ to a boundary vertex, otherwise $\partial h$ consists of only diagonal edges (by Property ($\tau$)) a contradiction; see Figure 38.

Suppose first that $\eta_1$ is a boundary edge (Figure 38 (i)). Then by Claim 6.2 $\eta_1$ is a $\gamma$-edge and $U$ is $X_1$ or $X_2$.

Note that $\eta_2$ cannot be a boundary edge (Claim 7.10). Since $(\beta, \theta)$-edges are successive on $\partial h$ (Lemma 7.2 (3)), $\eta_2, \ldots, \eta_k$ are diagonal $(\beta, \theta)$-edges. Hence $\partial h$ has no $\alpha$-edge, a contradiction.

Next suppose that $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{i-1}$ are diagonal $(\beta, \theta)$-edges and $\eta_i$ is a boundary edge (Figure 38 (ii)). Let $D_3$ be a subdisk of $D_2$ cut off by $\eta_1$ which does not contain $h$. We want to show that $D_3$ is a bigon. Assume that the length of $\partial D_3$ is greater than two. Since $n_i$ is a boundary edge ($i > 1$) then $\eta_j$ joins boundary vertices, for $1 \leq j \leq i$; by Property ($\tau$) and the fact that $V$ is an interior vertex. Then the vertices on $D_3$ all are boundary vertices. Now we look at the Property ($\tau$). According to whether some of these vertices lies in $\{X_1, X_2\}$ or not, either we can find a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle inside $D_3$, or, $D_3$ contains a black bigon adjacent to boundary edge (recall that a black bigon implies the required result, the
existence of a black face with the support in an annulus). In both cases, we get a contradiction. Hence $D_3$ is a (white) bigon consisting of $\eta_1$ and a boundary edge $b_1$. Since a $\theta$-edge is not parallel to a boundary edge, $\eta_1$ is a $\beta$-edge. If $b_1$ is a $\gamma$-edge, then $D_3$ is a primitive disk face with $\rho(D_3) = \beta \gamma$. Then Claim 7.1 shows that $D_3$ would be black, a contradiction. Thus $b_1$ is an $\alpha$-edge, see Figure 38 (ii). Similarly $\eta_j$ (for $1 \leq j < i$) cobounds a bigon with a boundary edge $b_j$; $\eta_j$ is a $\beta$-edge and $b_j$ is an $\alpha$-edge. This then implies that $\eta_i$ is a $\gamma$-edge (Claim 6.2). By Property $(\tau)$, $V$ has two diagonal edges, then $\eta_k$ is a diagonal edge which joins $V$ to another vertex (because $\eta_i$ is the single boundary edge of $h$). Repeating the same argument to this vertex, we conclude the same result for $\eta_{k-1}$, and so on until $\eta_{i+1}$. Hence, $\eta_{i+1}, \ldots, \eta_k$ are $(\beta, \theta)$-edges. Therefore $\partial h$ does not have an $\alpha$-edge, a contradiction. It follows that $D_1$ is not a white disk face. 

Thus $D_1$ contains a single black disk face $h$ and possible white disk faces.

Let us denote $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_k$ the successive edges on $\partial h$; $\eta_1$ is the outermost $\theta$-edge $e$ connecting two vertices $U$ and $V$, $\eta_2$ is incident to $U$ and $\eta_k$ is incident to $V$.

**Claim 7.12.** $\partial h$ has a boundary edge.

**Proof.** Assume for a contradiction that $\partial h$ does not have a boundary edge.

Recall that diagonal edges are $(\beta, \theta)$-edges, and that there is no $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle in $D_\tau$.

Assume first that both $U$ and $V$ are interior vertices. Then $D_\tau$ contains a cycle $\sigma$ consisting of $e$ and additional interior edges. This cycle bounds a disk $D_\sigma$ inside $D_\tau$. By Property $(\tau)$ if one interior vertex in $D_\sigma$ is incident to a diagonal edge then $D_\sigma$ contains a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle; a contradiction. Then $D_\sigma$ is a disk face. Since all black disk faces are isomorphic and contain a $\beta$-edge, $D_\sigma$ is white. Therefore (Property $(\tau)$) $U$ is incident to another diagonal edge $e_1$ (distinct to $e$) in $\partial h$; similarly for $V$. Now, we apply successively the Property $(\tau)$ to the vertices incident to the edges around $\partial h$ from $e, e_1, \ldots$ to get that $\partial h$ contains only diagonal edges (because we assume that $\partial h$ does not contain a boundary edge).

Similarly, if both $U$ and $V$ are boundary vertices, then from Property $(\tau)$, we see that $\partial h$ is a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle or we have another black disk face in $D_1$.
So we assume $U$ is a boundary vertex and $V$ is an interior vertex. Then there are two possibilities: $\eta_k$ (incident to $V$) is a diagonal edge, or $\eta_k$ is lying on $\partial D_\tau$.

Now we show that the latter case cannot happen. For otherwise, $V$ is incident to another diagonal edge $e'$ in $D_\tau - D_1$ by Property ($\tau$). Let $g(\subset D_\tau - D_1)$ be the white disk face containing $e$ and $e'$ on its boundary. By Claim 7.10 $g$ has a single boundary edge $e''$ incident to $U$. Again by Property ($\tau$), $\partial g$ is the union of $e''$ and diagonal edges (because $e, e'$ are diagonal edges, we repeat the same argument as above). If $\partial g$ does not have a $\beta$-edge, then by Claim 7.8 $\rho(g) = \alpha \theta x$ for some positive integer $x$. Then Claim 7.1 shows that $g$ would be black, a contradiction. Thus $\partial g$ has a $\beta$-edge, and hence, $\partial g$ contains an $< \alpha, \theta >$-corner and a $< \theta, \beta >$-corner (or a $< \beta, \theta >$-corner and a $< \theta, \alpha >$-corner). However Figures 35 and 37 show that it is impossible.

Thus $\eta_k$ is a diagonal edge. Then since $\partial h$ has no boundary edge, we see (Property ($\tau$)) that $\partial h$ is a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle; a contradiction. □

**Claim 7.13.** $\rho(h) = \theta \beta^a \gamma \beta^b$, up to orientation and cyclic permutation, for some integers $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$.

**Proof.** By the previous claim, $\partial h$ has a boundary edge. Then by Claim 7.10 it has exactly one boundary edge; in particular, it has at least two boundary vertices.

Let $W_i$ be the vertex incident to $\eta_i$ and $\eta_{i+1}$ so that $W_1 = U$ and $W_k = V$.

Recall that diagonal edges in $D_1$ other than $e$ are $\beta$-edges and $\partial h$ is the union of a sequence of successive $(\beta, \theta)$-edges and a sequence of successive $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges (Lemma 7.2 (3)). Since $\partial h$ has a $\beta$-edge and the boundary edge is an $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge, $\eta_2$ or $\eta_k$ is a $\beta$-edge. We may assume (if necessary by taking the opposite order of $\eta_2, \ldots, \eta_k$ so that the roles of $\eta_2$ and $\eta_k$ is exchanged) $\eta_2$ is a diagonal $\beta$-edge. Let us assume that $\eta_2, \eta_3, \ldots, \eta_i$ be successive diagonal $\beta$-edges (possibly $i = 2$). Since $\partial h$ is not a $(\beta, \theta)$-cycle, there exists $i$ such that $\eta_{i+1}$ is not a diagonal edge. If $W_i$ is an interior vertex, then by Property ($\tau$) $D_1$ contains another black disk face. So $W_i$ is a boundary vertex and $\eta_{i+1}$ is a boundary edge. Then $W_{i+1}$ is also a boundary vertex and $\eta_{i+2}$ is not a boundary edge (there is a single boundary edge on $\partial h$). Suppose that $\eta_{i+2}$ is not a diagonal edge, then it lies on $\partial D_\tau$. The absence of black disk faces other than $h$ and Property ($\tau$) imply that $\eta_{i+2}, \eta_{i+3}, \ldots, \eta_k$ are lying on $\partial D_\tau$, see Figure 39.
Then since $\eta_k \subset \partial D_\tau$, $V$ is incident to another diagonal edge $e' \neq e$ and a white disk face $g(\subset D_\tau - D_1)$ as above. Applying the same argument in the proof of Claim 7.12, we have a contradiction. Therefore $\eta_{i+2}$ is a diagonal edge; thus this is a $\beta$-edge.

Hence by Lemma 7.2 (3), $\eta_{i+3}, \ldots, \eta_k$ are $\beta$-edges. Note that $\eta_{i+2}$ is possibly $\eta_1 = e$.

If $\eta_{i+1}$ is shown to be a $\gamma$-edge, then $\rho(h) = \theta \beta^a \gamma \beta^b$ for some positive $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$.

Now let us show that $\eta_{i+1}$ is a $\gamma$-edge.

All the vertices on $\partial h$ are boundary vertices. Indeed, assume for a contradiction that $\partial h$ contains a vertex $Y$ which is not a boundary vertex, then $Y \notin \{W_i, W_{i+1}\}$. Hence the edges on $\partial h$ incident to $Y$ are $(\beta, \theta)$-edges. Since all the vertices but $X_1, X_2$ are incident to $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges with both labels 1 and 2 (by Claim 7.6), $Y$ is incident to a black face $g$ such that $\partial g$ contains at least two $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edges; thus $\rho(h) \neq \rho(g)$ a contradiction.

Therefore, all the $\eta_i$’s except $e = \eta_1$ bound a white face of length two on $D_1$ (because $D_1$ does not contain another black face).

Let $h'(\subset D_1)$ be the white disk face whose boundary contains $\eta_i$. Let $e'$ be the edge around $\partial h'$, incident to $W_i$, and distinct from $\eta_i$. By Claim 7.1 $e'$ is a $\alpha$-edge. Thus $\eta_{i+1}$ is a $\gamma$-edge by Claim 6.2, as desired. □

**Claim 7.14.** The $\alpha$-family is adjacent to the $\beta$-family.

**Proof.** Assume for a contradiction that the $\alpha$-family is adjacent to the $\theta$-family. As in the proof of Claim 7.8, we take a sequence of alternating sequence of corners and edges of $\partial \Lambda f_0$ joining $p_1$ to $p_2$ which is a part of the boundary of the disk face in $G_D$ just outside of $\Lambda$. (This black face is the opposite side of the white face used in the the proof of Claim 7.8.) Thus we have a black corner connecting $p_1$ and an endpoint of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge ($< p_1, \alpha$ or $\gamma >$-corner) and a black corner connecting an endpoint of $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge and $p_2$ ($< \alpha$ or $\gamma, p_2 >$-corner). Hence $\Lambda$ cannot contain the
black corners given by the black disk face \( h \) (Claim 7.13), see Figure 40 (i) if \( \rho(h) = \theta \beta^a \gamma \) (i.e., \( b = 0 \)) and Figure 40 (ii) if \( \rho(h) = \theta \beta^a \gamma \beta^b \) (i.e., \( b > 0 \)). □

**Figure 40**

**Claim 7.15.** There exists a white corner which is either an \( \langle \theta, \beta \rangle \)-corner, an \( \langle \beta, \theta \rangle \)-corner or an \( \langle \theta \rangle \)-corner.

**Proof.** Let \( g \) be the white face having \( e \) on its boundary; \( g \) is the opposite side of \( h \) along \( e \). Let \( e_U, e_V \) be the edges adjacent to \( e \) on \( \partial g \), and incident to \( U \) and \( V \) respectively. If one of them is a diagonal edge, then we obtain the required result. So we may assume that they are both \( \alpha \)-edges by Claim 7.8. Since black disk faces are isomorphic (Proposition 3.9 (i)), Claim 7.13 implies that the \( \alpha \)-edges are boundary edges. By Claim 7.10 \( e_U = e_V \), and hence \( g \) is a white primitive disk face with \( \rho(g) = \alpha \theta \). Then by Claim 7.1 \( g \) would be black; a contradiction. □
By Claim 7.14 the \( \alpha \)-family is adjacent to the \( \beta \)-family. Then from Figure 37, we see that \( \Lambda \) cannot contain a white corner which is a \( < \theta, \beta \> \)-corner, a \( < \beta, \theta \> \)-corner or a \( < \theta \> \)-corner. However this contradicts Claim 7.15. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.5. \( \Box \)

If the family of \( \theta \)-edges is adjacent to the family of the \( \alpha \)-edges, then we have the following result; the proof is identical by replacing notations.

**Addendum 7.16.** Assume that the family of \( \theta \)-edges is adjacent to the family of the \( \alpha \)-edges. If there is no black disk face whose the support lies in an annulus in \( \hat{T} \), then there exists a positive vertex in \( \Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^{\alpha, \theta} \) (for which \( (\alpha, \theta) \)-edges are BW and \( (\gamma, \beta) \)-edges are WB).

Suppose for a contradiction that \( \Lambda \) does not have a black disk face whose support is contained in an annulus in \( \hat{T} \). Then by Lemma 7.5, we have a positive vertex in \( \Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^{\alpha, \theta} \). Let \( g \) be the corresponding disk face of \( \Lambda \), which would be white. Then \( \partial g \) is either an \( (\alpha, \gamma) \)-cycle or a \( (\beta, \theta) \)-cycle. We assume, if necessary changing \( \alpha \) (resp. \( \gamma \)) with \( \beta \) (resp. \( \theta \)), that \( \partial g \) is an \( (\alpha, \gamma) \)-cycle.

**Claim 7.17.** There exists a positive vertex in \( \Gamma_{\beta, \gamma, \theta}^{\alpha} \) (in which an \( \alpha \)-edge is BW and a \( (\beta, \gamma, \theta) \)-edge is WB).

**Proof.** Assume for a contradiction that \( \Gamma_{\beta, \gamma, \theta}^{\alpha} \) does not contain a positive vertex. Notice that the BW-edges are successive around \( \partial V_i \) (for \( i = 1 \) or \( 2 \)) since they are \( \alpha \)-edges, and similarly for the WB-edges (since they are the edges which are not the \( \alpha \)-edges); so we can apply Lemma 7.2. By Lemma 7.2 (3) the \( \alpha \)-edges (resp. \( \gamma \)-edges) are successive around \( \partial g \). Thus \( \partial g = \alpha^m \gamma^n \) for some positive integers \( m, n \). We may assume \( m, n \geq 2 \), for otherwise, \( g \) is primitive and Claim 7.1 shows that \( g \) would be black, a contradiction. Thus we have an \( < \alpha > \)-corner and a \( < \gamma > \)-corner in \( \partial g \). Hence the white corners are given as in Figure 41 (i) (ii) depending on whether the \( \beta \)-family is adjacent to the \( \alpha \)-family or not.

Then, for any edge class label \( \delta \), each white \( < \delta, \theta > \)-corner (resp. \( < \theta, \delta > \)-corner) is an \( < \alpha, \theta > \)-corner (resp. \( < \theta, \alpha > \)-corner). Since there is a \( \theta \)-edge, we have a white face \( h \) so that \( \partial h \) contains a \( \theta \)-edge \( e \). Now assume for a contradiction that \( h \) is not a disk face (i.e., \( h \) is the annulus face \( f_0 \)); \( h \) is divided into a black disk and a white disk by two ghost edges. Since \( \partial_\Lambda f_0 \) is a \( (\beta, \gamma, \theta) \)-cycle (Lemma 7.2(2) and (4)), \( e \) joins \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \), for otherwise, we would have a white corner in \( h = f_0 \) which
is neither an \(< \alpha, \theta >\)-corner nor a \(< \theta, \alpha >\)-corner. This means that there is a \(< p_1, \theta >\)-corner, where \(p_1\) is the endpoint of the ghost edge incident to \(X_1\). However this is impossible; see Figure 41. It follows that \(h\) is a white disk face with \(\rho(h) = \cdots \alpha \theta \alpha \cdots\). Then by Lemma 7.2 (3), \(\rho(h) = \alpha^2 \theta\), hence \(h\) is primitive. Thus Claim 7.1 shows that \(h\) would be black, a contradiction. 

\[\square\]

Let \(h\) be the disk face of \(\Lambda\) corresponding to the positive vertex in \(\Gamma_{\beta, \gamma, \theta}^\alpha\). Note that \(\partial h\) is a \((\beta, \gamma, \theta)\)-cycle by Lemma 3.4.

Claim 7.18. The disk face \(h\) is black.

**Proof.** Assume that \(h\) is white. Since the white disk face \(g\) gives white \(< \alpha, \gamma >\)-corners and white \(< \gamma, \alpha >\)-corners in \(\partial g\), we have white corners as in Figure 41 with the \(< \alpha >\)-corners and the \(< \gamma >\)-corners removed. This then implies that if \(\partial h\) contains a \(\gamma\)-edge, then since \(\partial h\) is a \((\beta, \gamma, \theta)\)-cycle and it does not have an \(\alpha\)-edge, \(\partial h\) is a \(\gamma\)-cycle (i.e., there are only
< γ >-corners in ∂h). This contradicts Lemma 3.4. Thus ∂h is a (β, θ)-cycle, and hence there are white < β, θ >-corners and white < θ, β >-corners. However it is impossible if the β-family is adjacent to the α-family, see Figure 41 (i) with the < α >-corners and the < γ >-corners removed. So we may assume that the θ-family is adjacent to the α-family, see Figure 42 below.

Let us recall from Addendum 7.16 that Γ_{α,θ}^{β,γ} has a positive vertex. We may assume that the corresponding disk face h' is white. Then ∂h' contains either a white < α, θ >-corner or a white < β, γ >-corner, which is impossible, see Figure 42. □

Since the black disk face h is bounded by a (β, γ, θ)-cycle and the black disk faces all are isomorphic (Proposition 3.9 (i)), the α-edges cannot be interior edges, i.e., they are boundary edges. By Claim 7.10, \( ρ(g) = αγ^n \) for some positive integer n, i.e., g is primitive. Then Claim 7.1 shows that g would be black, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.10 (ii) in Case 1.

7.3. Proof of Proposition 3.10–Case 2

In this subsection, we prove Proposition 3.10 (ii) under the assumption: The γ-family or the θ-family is empty.

If the γ-family and the θ-family are both empty, then the support of a black disk face is in an annulus in \( Ĝ \). So we may assume that Λ contains a γ-edge or a θ-edge. In the following, if necessarily changing θ with γ, we
assume that there is no $\theta$-edge in $\Lambda$. Note that in the present situation, any two distinct families are adjacent around $\partial V_1$. Now let us choose oriented dual graphs $\Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^\alpha$ (in which $\alpha$-edge is BW, $\beta, \gamma$-edge is WB) and $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta$ (for which a $\beta$-edge is BW and an $(\alpha, \gamma)$-edge is WB).

**Claim 7.19.** Either $\Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^\alpha$ or $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta$ contains a positive vertex. Moreover if both $\Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^\alpha$ and $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta$ have positive vertices, then $\Lambda$ contains a required black disk face whose support lies in an annulus in $\hat{T}$.

**Proof.** First assume for a contradiction that neither $\Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^\alpha$ nor $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta$ contains a positive vertex. By Lemma 7.2 (4), $\partial \Lambda f_0$ is not a $\delta$-cycle for $\delta \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. Furthermore (Lemma 7.2 (2)) $\partial \Lambda f_0$ is a $(\beta, \gamma)$-cycle and simultaneously a $(\alpha, \gamma)$-cycle, so it is a $\gamma$-cycle, a contradiction.

Next suppose that both $\Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^\alpha$ and $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta$ contain positive vertices. Let $g, h$ be the corresponding disk faces in $\Lambda$ respectively. Then we may assume that $g, h$ are not black, because their support are in an annulus in $\hat{T}$. Thus the family of white corners contains:
- an $<\alpha, \beta>$-corner and a $<\beta, \alpha>$-corner on $\partial f$; and
- a $<\beta, \gamma>$-corner and a $<\gamma, \beta>$-corner on $\partial g$; and
- an $<\alpha, \gamma>$-corner and a $<\gamma, \alpha>$-corner on $\partial h$.

This is impossible, see Figure 43.

To prove Proposition 3.10 (ii) (in Case 2), we assume, without loss of generality, that $\Gamma_{\alpha, \gamma}^\beta$ has a positive vertex, but $\Gamma_{\beta, \gamma}^\alpha$ does not have a positive vertex. Let $h$ be the disk face of $\Lambda$ corresponding to a positive vertex of
\( \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha, \gamma} \). Then \( \partial h \) is an \((\alpha, \gamma)\)-cycle (Lemma 3.4). Lemma 7.2 shows that \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \) is a \((\beta, \gamma)\)-cycle and \( \rho(h) = \alpha^m \gamma^n \) for some positive integers \( m, n \). We may assume that \( m, n \geq 2 \), for otherwise \( g \) is primitive and Claim 7.1 shows that \( g \) would be black, a contradiction. Therefore we have a white \( < \alpha > \)-corner, a white \( < \alpha, \gamma > \)-corner, a white \( < \gamma > \)-corner and a white \( < \gamma, \alpha > \)-corner.

Recall that the edges of \( G_D \) incident to the ghost labels at \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) divide \( f_0 \) into a black disk \( D_B \) and a white disk \( D_W \). The edges of \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \) in \( D_B \) (resp. \( D_W \)) is said to be black (resp. white).

**Claim 7.20.** All the white edges in \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \) are \( \gamma \)-edges, or there exists a black disk face whose support lies in an annulus in \( \hat{T} \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \) is a \((\beta, \gamma)\)-cycle. Assume that \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \) contains a white \( \beta \)-edge \( e \). Then \( e \) joins \( X_1 \) to \( X_2 \), for otherwise we have a white \( < \beta, \delta > \)-corner or a white \( < \delta, \beta > \)-corner in \( D_W \subset f_0 \) with \( \delta = \beta \) or \( \gamma \), which is impossible, see Figure 44.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
V_1 & & V_2 \\
\beta & <\beta, \alpha> & \alpha \\
\gamma & <\gamma, \alpha> & \gamma \\
\gamma & <\alpha, \gamma> & \gamma \\
\alpha & <\alpha, \beta> & \beta \\
\gamma & <\alpha, \alpha> & \gamma \\
\end{array} \]

**Figure 44**

Let \( h \) be the black disk face adjacent to \( e \). Since the interior edges incident to \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are \( \alpha \)-edges (Lemma 7.2 (2)) and \( \beta \)-edges and \( \gamma \)-edges (WB-edges) are successive (Lemma 7.2 (3)), \( \rho(h) = \beta \alpha^n \) for some integer \( n \). Hence \( h \) is a black disk face whose support lies in an annulus in \( \hat{T} \).

It follows that we may assume that all the white edges in \( \partial \Lambda f_0 \) are \( \gamma \)-edges. Then there exists a white \( < p_1, \gamma > \)-corner, where \( p_1 \) is the endpoint.
Geometric types of twisted knots

of the ghost edge labeled by 1 on $\partial X_1$ or $\partial X_2$. Moreover by Claim 6.2, $p_1$ is lying between the $\gamma$-family and the $\beta$-family (cf. Figure 36 with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ changed). However this is impossible, see Figure 44.

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.10 (ii) in Case 2.
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