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A generalization of Pascal’s triangle using powers of base numbers

GÁBOR KALLÓS

Abstract

In this paper we generalize the Pascal triangle and examine the connections among the generalized triangles and powering integers respectively polynomials. We emphasize the relationship between the new triangles and the Pascal pyramids, moreover we present connections with the binomial and multinomial theorems.

1. Introduction

The interesting and really romantic Pascal triangle has been a favorite research field for mathematicians for a very long time. The table of binomial coefficients has been named after Blaise Pascal, a French scientist and writer. Although the triangle had been known as early as in ancient India, and later in Persia, China and Europe in the Middle Ages by a number of scientists before Pascal, he generalized known results and gave a number of new properties, which he formulated in nineteen theorems ([3]).

The Pascal triangle has a number of generalizations.

We can construct the generalized Pascal triangles of $s^{th}$ order (or kind $s$, sometimes referred to as the $s$-arithmetical triangles), from the generalized binomial coefficients of order $s$. This idea was first published in 1956 by John E. Freund ([5]). These triangles have been intensively investigated in the last decades, we cite some important properties in Sections 4 and 5.

Other interesting planar generalizations are the Lucas, Fibonacci, Catalan and other arithmetical triangles, however these constructions diverge from our topic.
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The so-called Pascal pyramid is constructed from trinomial coefficients, which occur in the expansions \((x + y + z)^n\) (first mentioned by E. B. Rosenthal, 1960, [3]). Each of the outer faces of the pyramid are Pascal triangles. We can extend this idea to the multi-dimensional case (with \(dim \geq 4\)), so Pascal hyperpyramids can be constructed from multinomial coefficients ([3]).

In this paper we present another type of generalization. This idea is based on the well-known fact (see e.g. the paper of Robert L. Morton from 1964, [8]) that from the \(n^{th}\) row of the Pascal triangle with positional addition we get the \(n^{th}\) power of 11 (Figure 1), where \(n\) is a non-negative integer, and the indices in the rows and columns run from 0.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
1 & 1 & & & & \\
1 & 2 & 1 & & & \\
1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & & \\
1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 & \\
1 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 1 \\
& & & \cdots & & \\
1 = 11^0, 11 = 11^1, 121 = 11^2, 1331 = 11^3, 14641 = 11^4, 161051 = 11^5, \ldots
\end{array}
\]

**Figure 1.** Powers of 11 in the Pascal triangle

This comes directly from the binomial equality

\[
\binom{n}{0}10^n + \binom{n}{1}10^{n-1} + \binom{n}{2}10^{n-2} + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1}10^1 + \binom{n}{n}10^0 = 11^n.
\]

(1.1)

2. *ab*-based triangles

Let us construct triangles, in which the powers of other numbers appear. To achieve this, let us consider the Pascal triangle as the 11-based triangle, and take the following definition.
Definition 2.1. Let \(a\) and \(b\) be integers, with \(0 \leq a, b \leq 9\). We get the \(k\)th element in the \(n\)th row of the \('ab'\)-based triangle if we add \(b\)-times the \(k-1\)th element in the \(n-1\)th row to \(a\)-times the \(k\)th element in the \(n-1\)th row. If \(k-1 < 0\) or \(k > n\) (i.e., the element in the \(n-1\)th row does not exist according to the normal implementation) then we consider this element to be 0 (Figure 2). The indices in the rows and columns run from 0.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
4 & 7 & & & & \\
16 & 56 & 49 & & & \\
64 & 336 & 588 & 343 & & \\
256 & 1792 & 4704 & 5488 & 2401 & \\
\ldots & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 2. The 47-based triangle

Remark 2.2. Although the construction of triangles of coefficients in expansions of \((a + bx)^n\) – i.e. our \('ab'\)-based triangles – has already been mentioned by few other authors in the last years (see e.g. [4] and [9]), systematic analysis as here was published first only in [6] and [7]. The same is true for the more general case as triangles of coefficients in expansions of \((a + bx + cx^2)^n\), see Definition 3.1.

Let us introduce the notation \(E_{n,k}^{ab}\) for the \(k\)th element in the \(n\)th row of the \('ab'\)-based triangle. Thus, our definition takes the form \(E_{n,k}^{ab} = bE_{n-1,k-1}^{ab} + aE_{n-1,k}^{ab}\) with \(n \geq 1\) and \(E_{0,0}^{ab} = 1\). Here \(E_{n,k}^{11} = C_n^k\) is the element of the Pascal triangle.

The structure of the \('ab'\)-based triangle is relatively simple and closely related to the Pascal triangle.

Proposition 2.3. In the \('ab'\)-based triangle we have \(E_{n,k}^{ab} = a^{n-k}b^kC_n^k\).

Proof. We prove by induction. In the first row the assertion is true. Let us now assume, that in the \(n-1\)th row \(E_{n-1,k-1}^{ab} = a^{n-k}b^{k-1}C_{n-1}^{k-1}\) and...
$E_{n-1,k}^{ab} = a^{n-k-1}b^k C_{n-1}^k$ hold. Then for $E_{n,k}^{ab}$ by the definition we have

$$E_{n,k}^{ab} = b \cdot a^{n-k}b^{k-1}C_{n-1}^{k-1} + a \cdot a^{n-k-1}b^k C_{n-1}^k = a^{n-k}b^k C_n^k.$$ 

□

As a consequence of this result, by positional addition from the $n^{th}$ row of the $ab$-based triangle we get the $n^{th}$ power of the base-number $ab$, since similarly, as in (1.1), from the expansion of $ab^n$ we get

$$(10a + b)^n = \binom{n}{0} a^n 10^n + \binom{n}{1} a^{n-1} 10^{n-1} + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} ab^{n-1} 10 + \binom{n}{n} b^n. \quad (2.1)$$

Example 2.4. In the 3$^{rd}$ row of the 47-based triangle $64 \cdot 10^3 + 336 \cdot 10^2 + 588 \cdot 10 + 343 = 103823 = 47^3$.

We have a nice connection with the binomial theorem.

**Proposition 2.5.** The elements in the $n^{th}$ row of the $ab$-based triangle are the coefficients of the polynomial $(ax + by)^n$.

**Proof.** This result follows from Proposition 2.3, if we substitute $10a$ with $ax$ and $b$ with $by$ in (2.1). □

Example 2.6. From the 47-based triangle $(4x + 7y)^3 = 64x^3 + 336x^2y + 588xy^2 + 343y^3$.

It is interesting to check how the properties of the Pascal triangle are modified in the $ab$-based triangles. Some of them (e.g. symmetry, divisibility) are straightforward, some others will be investigated more generally in the next sections.

We focus here only on some properties, which rely on the fact that the base-number has only two digits.

An important property of the Pascal triangle is that the sum of elements in the ascending diagonals form the Fibonacci sequence. A direct consequence of the construction is that in the $ab$-based triangles we have Fibonacci-like recurrence sequences $G_i = bG_{i-2} + aG_{i-1}$, with $G_0 = 1$ and $G_1 = a$. (Figure 3).

An interesting connection between the Pascal pyramid and the $ab$-based triangles will be discussed in Section 4.
3. Further generalization

The base-number of such triangles can consist of more than two digits, too.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $0 \leq a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} \leq 9$ be integers. We get the $k^{th}$ element in the $n^{th}$ row of the $a_0 a_1 \ldots a_{m-1}$-based triangle if we multiply the $k - m^{th}$ element in the $n - 1^{th}$ row by $a_{m-1}$, the $k - m + 1^{th}$ element in the $n - 1^{th}$ row by $a_{m-2}$, ..., the $k^{th}$ element in the $n - 1^{th}$ row by $a_0$, and add the products. If for some index $i$ we have $k - m + i < 0$ or $k - m + i > n(m - 1)$ (i.e., an element in the $n - 1^{th}$ row does not exist) then we consider this element to be 0. The indices in the rows and columns run from 0 (Figure 4).

Using our notation introduced above, we have

$$E_{n,k}^{a_0 a_1 \ldots a_{m-1}} = a_{m-1}E_{n-1,k-m}^{a_0 \ldots a_{m-1}} + a_{m-2}E_{n-1,k-m+1}^{a_0 \ldots a_{m-1}} + \cdots + a_1E_{n-1,k-1}^{a_0 \ldots a_{m-1}} + a_0E_{n-1,k}^{a_0 \ldots a_{m-1}}.$$
As we already mentioned, triangles with base-number 11\ldots 1 (s pieces of 1 digits) have already been known for decades as generalized Pascal triangles of $s$\textsuperscript{th} order ([3]). However, they were introduced using a combinatorial approach, by the generalization of the binomial coefficients ([5]). \footnote{The generalized binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m}_s$ is the number of different ways of distributing $m$ objects among $n$ cells where each cell may contain at most $s-1$ objects ([3]). This is the element in the $m$\textsuperscript{th} column of the $n$\textsuperscript{th} row in the generalized Pascal triangles of $s$\textsuperscript{th} order. For $s=2$ we get the 'normal' binomial coefficients and the Pascal triangle.}

The most common such triangle is the generalized Pascal triangle of order three (our 111-based triangle), sometimes referred as trinomial triangle – because of the connection with the trinomial coefficients, see Section 4.

Similarly, as in the generalized Pascal triangles of $m$\textsuperscript{th} order, in the $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$-based triangles the number of the elements in the $n$\textsuperscript{th} row is $N_{n,m} = n(m-1) + 1$.

As by the $ab$-based triangles, we have the same result about the powers of the base-number.

**Theorem 3.2.** From the $n$\textsuperscript{th} row of the $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$-based triangle by positional addition we get the $n$\textsuperscript{th} power of the number $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$.

**Proof.** We prove by induction. In the first row of the triangle is obviously the first power of the number $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$.

Let us now assume, that in the $n-1$\textsuperscript{th} row ($n > 1$) we have elements $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_p$ with $p = (n-1)(m-1)$, and from these elements with positional addition we get the $n-1$\textsuperscript{th} power of the base-number $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$.

Let us write out $(a_010^{m-1} + a_110^{m-2} + \cdots + a_{m-2}10 + a_{m-1})^n$ as

---

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
1  & 2 & 5 & 7 \\
4 & 20 & 53 & 70 & 49 \\
8  & 60 & 234 & 545 & 819 & 735 & 343
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
Generalization of Pascal’s triangle

\[(b_010^p + b_110^{p-1} + \cdots + b_{p-1}10 + b_p)a_010^{m-1} \]
\[+ (b_010^p + b_110^{p-1} + \cdots + b_{p-1}10 + b_p)a_110^{m-2} \]
\[+ \cdots \]
\[+ (b_010^p + b_110^{p-1} + \cdots + b_{p-1}10 + b_p)a_{m-2}10 \]
\[+ (b_010^p + b_110^{p-1} + \cdots + b_{p-1}10 + b_p)a_{m-1}. \]

By adding these expressions (using \(p = mn - m - n + 1\)) we get

\[a_0b_010^{mn-n} + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)10^{mn-n-1} + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)10^{mn-n-2} + \cdots \]
\[+ (a_{m-1}b_0 + a_{m-2}b_1 + \cdots + a_1b_{m-2} + a_0b_{m-1})10^{mn-m-n+1} + \cdots \]
\[+ (a_{m-2}b_p + a_{m-1}b_{p-1})10 + a_{m-1}b_p, \]

and this is exactly the number we get after positional addition from the \(n^{th}\) row of the triangle. \(\square\)

**Example 3.3.** In the 2\(^{nd}\) row of the 257-based triangle
\[4 \cdot 10^4 + 20 \cdot 10^3 + 53 \cdot 10^2 + 70 \cdot 10 + 49 = 66049 = 257^2. \]

**Proposition 3.4.** The elements in the \(n^{th}\) row of the general triangle are exactly the coefficients of the polynomial \((a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1})^n\), where the \(k^{th}\) element is the coefficient of \(x^k\).

*Proof.* We prove by induction. In the first row the statement is true. Let us now assume that in the \(n - 1^{th}\) row there are the coefficients of the polynomial \((a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1})^{n-1}\), and the \(k^{th}\) element is the coefficient of \(x^k\). If we multiply this polynomial by \(a_0, a_1x, \ldots, a_{m-1}x^{m-1}\), and sum the results (similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.2), we get the \(n^{th}\) power of the basic polynomial. But according to the forming rules of the triangle, the coefficients of this polynomial are exactly the elements of the \(n^{th}\) row. \(\square\)

**Example 3.5.** From the 3\(^{rd}\) row of the 257-based triangle (Figure 4)
\[(2 + 5x + 7x^2)^3 = 8 + 60x + 234x^2 + 545x^3 + 819x^4 + 735x^5 + 343x^6. \]

These results show that we have the "right" to call the new triangles as generalized Pascal triangles, since their general properties are very similar to that of the Pascal triangle.
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In Proposition 2.5 we saw a connection of the $ab$-based triangle with the binomial theorem. Thus, we expect the $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$-based triangle to have a relation with the multinomial (sometimes referred as the polyno-
mial) theorem. However the structure of the latter triangle is much more complicated. See for example the triangle with base-number $abc$ (Figure 5). The elements in the $n^{th}$ row are some sums of the coefficients of the polynomials $(ax + by + cz)^n$.

\begin{align*}
1 \\
& a^2 & 2ab & 2ac + b^2 & 2bc & c^2 \\
& a^3 & 3a^2b & 3a^2c + 3ab^2 & 6abc + b^3 & 3ac^2 + 3b^2c & 3bc^2 & c^3 \\
& a^4 & 4a^3b & 4a^3c & 12a^2bc + 6a^2c^2 & 12abc^2 + 12ab^2c & 4ac^3 + 4bc^3 & 4b^3c & 4c^4 \\
& & +6a^2b^2 & +4ab^3 & +12ab^2c & +4b^3c & +6b^2c^2 & +b^4 \\
& & & & & & & \ldots
\end{align*}

Figure 5. The $abc$-based triangle

To discover the connection of the general triangle with the multinomial theorem we need deeper analysis.

**Definition 3.6.** For the digits of the base-number $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$ let the weight of a digit be its distance from the centerline. So $w(a_0) = -w(a_{m-1})$, $w(a_1) = -w(a_{m-2})$, \ldots. If the base number is odd, then $w(a_{(m-1)/2}) = 0$. Let the unit of the weights be the distance of two neighboring elements in the triangle, i.e., $w(a_i) = w(a_{i+1}) + 1$.

**Example 3.7.** In the $abc$-based triangle $w(a) = -1$, $w(b) = 0$ and $w(c) = 1$, in the $abcd$-based triangle $w(a) = -1.5$, $w(b) = -0.5$, $w(c) = 0.5$ and $w(d) = 1.5$.

We would like to extend this idea to the elements of the other rows. As the elements of the triangle are sums, consider first the parts of them. For such an expression let the weight of the part be the sum of the weights of its digits. If a digit is on the $i^{th}$ power, then we count its weight $i$-times.
Example 3.8. One part of the 2nd element in the 3rd row of the abc-based triangle is $3a^2c$ (Figure 5). For this expression we have $w(3a^2c) = 2w(a) + w(c) = -1$.

Lemma 3.9. In an element of the general triangle the weights of the parts are identical, and this weight is the distance of the element from the centerline.

Proof. This result follows by induction directly from the construction of the triangle. □

In the following let us call the identical weights of the parts the weight of the element.

Lemma 3.10. Let us consider an expression $a_i^0a_1^1 \ldots a_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}$ for which $i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_{m-1} = n$. Then we can find this expression with some kind of coefficient as a part of the element with the same weight in the $n$th row of the general triangle.

Proof. Let us assume indirectly that this expression does not exist in the $n$th row of the general triangle as a part of the element with a corresponding weight. We should get this expression from parts of elements of the previous row $(a_0^{i_0}a_1^{i_1} \ldots a_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}, a_0^{i_0}a_1^{i_1} \ldots a_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}-1}, \ldots, a_0^{i_0}a_1^{i_1} \ldots a_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}-1})$ with multiplication (by $a_0$, $a_1$, \ldots, $a_{m-1}$). Thus these parts of elements can’t exist in the previous row. Proceeding backwards with this method we conclude that in the first line some digits of the base-number do not exist, and this is a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.11. For the coefficient $d$ of the expression $da_i^0a_1^1 \ldots a_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}$ with $i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_{m-1} = n$ in the $n$th row of the general triangle we have $d = \frac{n!}{i_0!i_1! \cdots i_{m-1}!}$.

Proof. This lemma can be proved by induction. This is left to the motivated reader. Now we omit the details. □

By these three Lemmas we proved the following result, which gives the desired connection with the multinomial theorem (4.3):

Theorem 3.12. The elements in the $n$th row of the $a_0a_1 \ldots a_{m-1}$-based triangle are exactly such sums of the coefficients of the polynomial $(a_0x_0 + a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1}x_{m-1})^n$ in which the weights of the parts are identical.
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Remark 3.13. With this result we presented a method to determine directly an element of the general triangle in a given position, too. However, because of the complexity of the construction, we cannot have such a nice formula for the elements as in the $ab$-based triangle.

4. Relationship with the Pascal pyramid

Richard C. Bollinger proved in [2] that the generalized binomial coefficients can be expressed by the means of multinomial coefficients as

\[
\binom{n}{m}_s = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s} \binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s},
\]

where the summation is over all $s$-part compositions $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s$ of $n$ such that $a) n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$, and $b) 0n_1 + 1n_2 + \cdots + (s-1)n_s = m$, where the multinomial coefficients \(^2\) are determined by

\[
\binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s} = \frac{n!}{n_1!n_2! \ldots n_s!}.
\]

Equation (4.1) presents a direct connection of generalized Pascal triangles of $s$th order (for us now triangles with base-number $11 \ldots 1$) with the Pascal hyperpyramids and the multinomial theorem (see (4.3) with $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_m = n$), similarly as our Theorem 3.12.

\[
(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m)^n = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m} \frac{n!}{n_1!n_2! \ldots n_m!} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_m^{n_m}. \tag{4.3}
\]

For $s = 3$ rule (4.1) can be presented as follows: if we add the trinomial coefficients in the layers of the Pascal pyramid with identical weights, we get the elements of the 111 based triangle (see Figure 6 with $a = b = c = 1$).

As it is already known, the Pascal pyramid can be constructed in the way that we choose base numbers in the first layer $a, b, c$, and so the $n$th layer consists of the coefficients in the expansion of $(a + b + c)^n$ (first published by G. Garcia, 1967, [3]). We will call this kind of pyramid in the following as the general Pascal pyramid.

\(^2\)The combinatorial sense of the multinomial coefficient is as follows: (4.2) gives the number of ways that $n$ different objects may be distributed among $s$ cells, where the number of objects in the $k$th cell is $n_k$, with $k = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ ([3]).
Now from Theorem 3.12 directly follows:

**Corollary 4.1.** Adding the elements in the layers of the general pyramid in the way that the weights of the parts are identical, we get the elements of the abc based triangle.

**Example 4.2.** For layer 4 this connection is presented in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Connection between the abc-based triangle and the general Pascal pyramid](image)

We can extend this result theoretically to the multi-dimensional case. Thus, from an $m$-dimensional Pascal hyperpiramid which consists of the coefficients in the expansions of $(a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1})^n$, with the same method we get the elements of the $a_0a_1\ldots a_{m-1}$-based triangle.

Another interesting connection can be observed between the Pascal pyramid and the $ab$-based triangles. Lior Manor mentioned in 2004 ([9]) that by adding the elements in the rows of the layers in the pyramid, we get the elements of the 12-based triangle.

However, by the analysis of the change of this property to the general pyramid we can discover that something more general is true.

**Theorem 4.3.** Adding the elements in the rows of the $n$th layer of the general pyramid, we get the elements in the $n$th row of the triangle with base numbers $AB$, where $A = a$ and $B = b + c$.

**Proof.** Mary Basil presented in 1968 ([1]) a rule for constructing the elements of the $n$th layer of the Pascal pyramid as

$$\binom{n}{n-m_1, m_1-m_2} = \binom{n}{m_1} \binom{m_1}{m_2},$$

(4.4)

---

3 Called by him as the triangle of coefficients in expansion of $(1 + 2x)^n$. 

---

11
with \( m_1 = 0, 1, \ldots, n \) (rows) and \( m_2 = 0, 1, \ldots, m_1 \) (columns). Moreover ([1]), in the general pyramid the element in the \( n \)th layer with row and column index \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) is

\[
\binom{n}{m_1} \binom{m_1}{m_2} a^{n-m_1} b^{m_1-m_2} c^{m_2}
\]

(4.5)

We know that

\[
(a+(b+c))^n = \binom{n}{0} a^n + \binom{n}{1} a^{n-1} (b+c) + \binom{n}{2} a^{n-2} (b+c)^2 + \ldots + \binom{n}{n} (b+c)^n.
\]

(4.6)

Now if we expand the powers of \((b+c)^i\) in (4.6), using (4.5) our statement follows.

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.4.** Rule (4.4) says, in effect, that we get the elements of the \( n \)th layer by taking rows of the Pascal triangle until \( n \), rotating the last row counterclockwise through the angle \( 90^\circ \), and then multiplying the elements of this column by the elements of the triangle ([3]).

In Figure 7 we arranged the parts of the right hand side of (4.6) in rows and illustrated the proof of Theorem 4.3.

```
\begin{array}{cccc}
\binom{n}{0} & \binom{n}{1} & \binom{n}{2} & \ldots \binom{n}{n} \\
0 & \binom{1}{0} & \binom{1}{1} & \binom{1}{2} \\
1 & \binom{2}{0} & \binom{2}{1} & \binom{2}{2} \\
2 & \binom{3}{0} & \binom{3}{1} & \binom{3}{2} & \binom{3}{3} \\
3 & \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n & b^n & b^{n-1} c & b^{n-2} c^2 & \ldots & c^n \\
\end{array}
```

**Figure 7. Illustration of the proof of Theorem 4.3**

5. **Some properties of the generalized triangles**

As we already mentioned, a number of mathematicians examined how the properties of binomial coefficients can be extended to the generalized
binomial coefficients of order \( s \). Similarly, it seems to be a very interesting and exciting research field, to determine more general connections among the elements in the general triangles.

One interesting property follows immediately from Theorem 3.12.

**Corollary 5.1.** In the \( n \)th row of the \( a_0 a_1 \ldots a_{m-1} \)-based triangle the sum of the elements (with normal addition) is \((a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1})^n\).

**Proof.** If we set in the polynomial \((a_0 x_0 + a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1} x_{m-1})^n\) the values of \( x_i \)-s as \( 1 = x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_{m-1} \), then from Theorem 3.12 in the \( n \)th row of the triangle there are the coefficients of the "polynomial" \((a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1})^n\). \( \square \)

**Remark 5.2.** For the generalized Pascal triangle of order \( s \) from this corollary we get the known fact (see e.g. in [3]) that the sum in the \( n \)th row is \( s^n \), while for \( s = 2 \) it follows the famous combinatorial equality

\[
\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \cdots + \binom{n}{n} = 2^n.
\]

For an arbitrary triangle let us denote the sum of the elements in the \( n \)th row with even index by \( S^e_n \) and similarly, the sum of the elements with odd index by \( S^o_n \).

**Theorem 5.3.** In the \( n \)th row of the \( a_0 a_1 \ldots a_{m-1} \)-based triangle we have

\[
S^e_n - S^o_n = (a_0 - a_1 + a_2 - \cdots + (-1)^{m-1} a_{m-1})^n.
\] (5.1)

For the proof of this theorem we will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let us assume that in the \( b_0 b_1 \ldots b_{m-1} \)-based triangle the digits of the base-number are of alternating sign e.g. \( b_0 \) is positive, \( b_1 \) is negative, \( b_2 \) is positive, \( b_3 \) is negative, and so on. Then this property remains true for all of the rows of the triangle, i.e. in every row is the 0th element is negative, the 1st element is positive, and so on. Moreover, the absolute value of the elements is the same, as the value of the elements in the triangle with base \(|b_0||b_1|\ldots|b_{m-1}|\).

**Proof.** We prove by induction. In the first row the statement is true. Let us now assume that in the \( n - 1 \)th row the assumption is true. By the definition of the triangle, we calculate the elements of the \( n \)th row as follows: we multiply together the elements of alternating sign in the \( n - 1 \)th
row by the digits of the base number, which are of alternating sign, too. Thus, we multiply together elements with signs + and +, − and −, ..., or − and +, + and −, ..., alternately, so we have elements in the \( n \)th row with alternating sign. Not considering the signs we calculated the same way the elements in the triangle with base \(|b_0| |b_1| \ldots |b_{m-1}|\), and from the induction assumption the absolute value of the elements in the \( n-1 \)th rows are the same. Thus, the absolute value of the elements in the \( n \)th rows are the same, too. \( \square \)

Now we prove the theorem.

**Proof.** Let us apply now Corollary 5.1 for the \( b_0b_1 \ldots b_{m-1} \)-based triangle in Lemma 5.4. So

\[
(b_0 + b_1 + \ldots + b_{m-1})^n = E_{0,n}^{b_0b_1\ldots b_{m-1}} + E_{1,n}^{b_0b_1\ldots b_{m-1}} + \ldots + E_{n(m-1),n}^{b_0b_1\ldots b_{m-1}} \tag{5.2}
\]

where on the right-hand side of (5.2) we have simply the sum of the elements in the \( n \)th row. If we choose the \( b_i \)-s such that \( b_i = a_i \), if \( i \) is even, and \( b_i = -a_i \), if \( i \) is odd, then (5.1) follows directly from (5.2). \( \square \)

In an arbitrary triangle let \( S_e^c + S_o^c = A \) and \( S_e^o - S_o^o = B \). Then \( S_e^c = \frac{A+B}{2} \) and \( S_o^o = \frac{A-B}{2} \). Using Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.1 we are able to determine both of these sums without constructing the triangle.

**Example 5.5.** In the 257-based triangle (Figure 4) we have \( S_1^c = 9 = (14^1 + 4^1)/2 \) and \( S_1^o = 5 = (14^1 - 4^1)/2 \), \( S_2^c = 4 + 53 + 49 = (14^2 + 4^2)/2 \) and \( S_2^o = 20 + 70 = (14^2 - 4^2)/2 \), and so on.

As a special result, for the generalized Pascal triangle of \( s \)th order it follows the already known fact (see e.g. in [3]) that either \( S_e^c - S_o^c = 1^n \), if \( s \) is odd, or \( S_e^o - S_o^o = 0^n \), if \( s \) is even (this is the case for the Pascal triangle, too).

**References**


Generalization of Pascal’s triangle


Gábor Kallós
Department of Computer Science
Széchenyi István University
Egyetem tér 1
Győr, H-9026
HUNGARY
kallos@sze.hu